

Resource Guide to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

Job Preservation & Creation ♦ *Infrastructure Investment* ♦ *Energy Efficiency & Science* ♦ *Assistance to the Unemployed* ♦ *State & Local Fiscal Stabilization*



Prepared by the Office of
Representative John Bocchieri



American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009

OVERVIEW

| | |
|---|---------|
| Summary of Tax Provisions | Page 4 |
| Agriculture / Interior..... | Page 8 |
| Army Corps | Page 17 |
| Commerce, EDA, Small Business Administration..... | Page 19 |
| Defense / Military Construction | Page 21 |
| Education | Page 23 |
| Energy | Page 31 |
| Environment | Page 35 |
| Health & Human Services..... | Page 37 |
| Health Information Technology..... | Page 40 |
| Homeland Security..... | Page 45 |
| Housing & Urban Development..... | Page 49 |
| Justice..... | Page 55 |
| Labor | Page 57 |
| Sciences (NASA, NSF, NIST) | Page 61 |
| Transportation | Page 64 |
| Treasury..... | Page 70 |
| Veterans | Page 71 |

SUMMARY OF TAX PROVISIONS

TAX RELIEF FOR INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES

“Making Work Pay” Tax Credit. The bill would cut taxes for more than 95% of working families in the United States. For 2009 and 2010, the bill would provide a refundable tax credit of up to \$400 for working individuals and \$800 for working families. This tax credit would be calculated at a rate of 6.2% of earned income, and would phase out for taxpayers with adjusted gross income in excess of \$75,000 (\$150,000 for married couples filing jointly). Taxpayers can receive this benefit through a reduction in the amount of income tax that is withheld from their paychecks, or through claiming the credit on their tax returns. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$116.199 billion over 10 years.*

“American Opportunity” Education Tax Credit. The bill provides financial assistance for individuals seeking a college education. For 2009 and 2010, the bill would provide taxpayers with a new “American Opportunity” tax credit of up to \$2,500 of the cost of tuition and related expenses paid during the taxable year. Under this new tax credit, taxpayers will receive a tax credit based on one hundred percent (100%) of the first \$2,000 of tuition and related expenses (including books) paid during the taxable year and twenty-five percent (25%) of the next \$2,000 of tuition and related expenses paid during the taxable year. Forty percent (40%) of the credit would be refundable. This tax credit will be subject to a phase-out for taxpayers with adjusted gross income in excess of \$80,000 (\$160,000 for married couples filing jointly). *Cost: \$13.907 billion over 10 years.*

Computers as Qualified Education Expenses in 529 Education Plans. Section 529 Education Plans are tax-advantaged savings plans that cover all qualified education expenses, including: tuition, room & board, mandatory fees and books. The bill provides that computers and computer technology qualify as qualified education expenses. *Cost: \$6 million over 10 years.*

Refundable First-time Home Buyer Credit. Last year, Congress provided taxpayers with a refundable tax credit that was equivalent to an interest-free loan equal to 10 percent of the purchase of a home (up to \$7,500) by first-time home buyers. The provision applies to homes purchased on or after April 9, 2008 and before July 1, 2009. Taxpayers receiving this tax credit are currently required to repay any amount received under this provision back to the government over 15 years in equal installments, or, if earlier, when the home is sold. The credit phases out for taxpayers with adjusted gross income in excess of \$75,000 (\$150,000 in the case of a joint return). The bill eliminates the repayment obligation for taxpayers that purchase homes after January 1, 2009, increases the maximum value of the credit to \$8,000, and removes the prohibition on financing by mortgage revenue bonds, and extends the availability of the credit for homes purchased before December 1, 2009. The provision would retain the credit recapture if the house is sold within three years of purchase. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$6.638 billion over 10 years.*

Sales Tax Deduction for Vehicle Purchases. The bill provides all taxpayers with a deduction for State and local sales and excise taxes paid on the purchase of new cars, light truck, recreational vehicles, and motorcycles through 2009. This deduction is subject to a phase-out for taxpayers with adjusted gross income in excess of \$125,000 (\$250,000 in the case of a joint return). *This proposal is estimated to cost \$1.684 billion over 10 years.*

Extension of AMT Relief for 2009. The bill would provide more than 26 million families with tax relief in 2009 by extending AMT relief for nonrefundable personal credits and increasing the AMT exemption amount to \$70,950 for joint filers and \$46,700 for individuals. *Cost: \$69.759 billion over 10 years.*

ECONOMIC RECOVERY TOOLS

New Markets Tax Credit. Under current law, there are \$3.5 billion of New Markets Tax Credits (NMTC) available for each of 2008 and 2009. The provision increases the available credits for 2008 to \$5 billion and the available credits for 2009 to \$5 billion. *This provision is estimated to cost \$815 million over 10 years.*

Recovery Zone Bonds. The bill would create a new category of tax credit bonds for investment in economic recovery zones. The bill would authorize \$10 billion in recovery zone economic development bonds and \$15 billion in recovery zone facility bonds. These bonds could be issued during 2009 and 2010. Each state would receive a share of the national allocation based on that state's job losses in 2008 as a percentage of national job losses in 2008 (each state will receive a minimum allocation of these bonds). These allocations would be sub-allocated to local municipalities. Municipalities receiving an allocation of these bonds would be permitted to use these bonds to invest in infrastructure, job training, education, and economic development in areas within the boundaries of the State, city or county (as the case may be) that has significant poverty, unemployment or home foreclosures. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$5.371 billion over 10 years.*

Modify Speed Requirement for High-Speed Rail Exempt Facility Bonds. Under current law, States are allowed to issue private activity bonds for high-speed rail facilities. Under current law, a high-speed rail facility is a facility for the transportation of passengers between metropolitan areas using vehicles that are reasonably expected to operate at speeds in excess of 150 miles per hour between scheduled stops. The bill would allow these bonds to be used to develop rail facilities that are used by trains that are capable of attaining speeds in excess of 150 miles per hour. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$288 million over 10 years.*

TAX INCENTIVES FOR BUSINESSES

Extension of Bonus Depreciation. Businesses are allowed to recover the cost of capital expenditures over time according to a depreciation schedule. Last year, Congress temporarily allowed businesses to recover the costs of capital expenditures made in 2008 faster than the ordinary depreciation schedule would allow by permitting these businesses to immediately write-off fifty percent of the cost of depreciable property (e.g., equipment, tractors, wind turbines, solar panels, and computers) acquired in 2008 for use in the United States. The bill would extend this temporary benefit for capital expenditures incurred in 2009. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$5.074 billion over 10 years.*

Election to Accelerate Recognition of Historic AMT/R&D Credits. Last year, Congress temporarily allowed businesses to accelerate the recognition of a portion of their historic AMT or research and development (R&D) credits in lieu of bonus depreciation. The amount that taxpayers may accelerate is calculated based on the amount that each taxpayer invests in property that would otherwise qualify for bonus depreciation. This amount is capped at the lesser of six percent (6%) of historic AMT and R&D credits or \$30 million. The bill would extend this temporary benefit through 2009. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$805 million over 10 years.*

Extension of Enhanced Small Business Expensing. In order to help small businesses quickly recover the cost of certain capital expenses, small business taxpayers may elect to write-off the cost of these expenses in the year of acquisition in lieu of recovering these costs over time through depreciation. Until the end of 2010, small business taxpayers are allowed to write-off up to \$125,000 (indexed for inflation) of capital expenditures subject to a phase-out once capital expenditures exceed \$500,000 (indexed for inflation). Last year, Congress temporarily increased the amount that small businesses could write-off for capital expenditures incurred in 2008 to \$250,000 and increased the phase-out threshold for 2008 to \$800,000. The bill would extend these temporary increases for capital expenditures incurred in 2009. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$41 million over 10 years.*

5-Year Carryback of Net Operating Losses for Small Businesses. Under current law, net operating losses (“NOLs”) may be carried back to the two taxable years before the year that the loss arises (the “NOL carryback period”) and carried forward to each of the succeeding twenty taxable years after the year that the loss arises. For 2008, the bill would extend the maximum NOL carryback period from two years to five years for small businesses with gross receipts of \$15 million or less. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$947 million over 10 years.*

Delayed Recognition of Certain Cancellation of Debt Income. Under current law, a taxpayer generally has income where the taxpayer cancels or repurchases its debt for an amount less than its adjusted issue price. The amount of cancellation of debt income (“CODI”) is the excess of the old debt’s adjusted issue price over the repurchase price. Certain businesses will be allowed to recognize CODI over 10 years (defer tax on CODI for the first four or five years and recognize this income ratably over the following five taxable years) for specified types of business debt repurchased by the business after December 31, 2008 and before January 1, 2011. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$1.622 billion over 10 years.*

Incentives to Hire Unemployed Veterans and Disconnected Youth. Under current law, businesses are allowed to claim a work opportunity tax credit equal to 40 percent of the first \$6,000 of wages paid to employees of one of nine targeted groups. The bill would create two new targeted groups of prospective employees: (1) unemployed veterans; and (2) disconnected youth. An individual would qualify as an unemployed veteran if they were discharged or released from active duty from the Armed Forces during the five-year period prior to hiring and received unemployment compensation for more than four weeks during the year before being hired. An individual qualifies as a disconnected youth if they are between the ages of 16 and 25 and have not been regularly employed or attended school in the past 6 months. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$231 million over 10 years.*

Small Business Capital Gains. Under current law, Section 1202 provides a fifty percent (50%) exclusion for the gain from the sale of certain small business stock held for more than five years. The amount of gain eligible for the exclusion is limited to the greater of 10 times the taxpayer’s basis in the stock, or \$10 million gain from stock in that small business corporation. This provision is limited to individual investments and not the investments of a corporation. The non-excluded portion of section 1202 gain is taxed at the lesser of ordinary income rates or 28 percent, instead of the lower capital gains rates for individuals. The provision allows a seventy-five percent (75%) exclusion for individuals on the gain from the sale of certain small business stock held for more than five years. This change is for stock issued after the date of enactment and before January 1, 2011. *This provision is estimated to cost \$829 million over 10 years.*

Temporary Small Business Estimated Tax Payment Relief. The bill reduces the 2009 required estimated tax payments for certain small businesses. *This provision has been estimated to have no revenue effect over 10 years.*

Temporary Reduction of S Corporation Built-In Gains Holding Period from 10 Years to 7 Years. Under current law, if a taxable corporation converts into an S corporation, the conversion is not a taxable event. However, following such a conversion, an S corporation must hold its assets for ten years in order to avoid a tax on any built-in gains that existed at the time of the conversion. The bill would temporarily reduce this holding period from ten years to seven years for sales occurring in 2009 and 2010. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$415 million over 10 years.*

Repeal of Treasury Section 382 Notice. Last year, the Treasury Department issued Notice 2008-83, which liberalized rules in the tax code that are intended to prevent taxpayers that acquire companies from claiming losses that were incurred by the acquired company prior to the taxpayer’s ownership of the company. The bill would repeal this Notice prospectively. *This proposal is estimated to raise \$6.977 billion over 10 years.*

Treatment of Certain Ownership Changes. The bill would clarify the application of section 382 to certain companies restructuring pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$3.163 billion over 10 years.*

MANUFACTURING RECOVERY PROVISIONS

Industrial Development Bonds (IDB). Under current law, certain manufacturing facilities are eligible for tax exempt bond financing. Section 144(a)(12)(C) specifically limits the definition of a manufacturing facility for the purposes of such financing to facilities that are used in the manufacturing or production of tangible personal property. The proposal amends the definition of manufacturing facility to any facility used in the manufacturing, creation, or production of tangible or intangible property described in section 197(d)(1)(C)(iii). Intangible property is any patent, copyright, formula, process, design, pattern, knowhow, format, or other similar item. The proposal also clarifies which physical components of a manufacturing facility qualify as "ancillary" and therefore are subjected to a 25% limitation in the amount of bond issuance used to build or re-construct those components. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$203 million over ten years.*

Advanced Energy Investment Credit. The proposal establishes a new 30% investment tax credit for facilities engaged in the manufacture of advanced energy property. Credits are available only for projects certified by the Secretary of Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, through a competitive bidding process. The Secretary of Treasury must establish a certification program no later than 180 days after date of enactment, and may allocate up to \$2.3 billion in credits. Advanced energy property includes technology for the production of renewable energy, energy storage, energy conservation, efficient transmission and distribution of electricity, and carbon capture and sequestration. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$1.647 billion over 10 years.*

OTHER PROVISIONS

Treasury Department Energy Grants in Lieu of Tax Credits. Under current law, taxpayers are allowed to claim a production tax credit for electricity produced by certain renewable energy facilities and an investment tax credit for certain renewable energy property. These tax credits help attract private capital to invest in renewable energy projects. Current economic conditions have severely undermined the effectiveness of these tax credits. As a result, the bill would allow taxpayers to receive a grant from the Treasury Department in lieu of tax credits. This grant will operate like the current-law investment tax credit. The Treasury Department will issue a grant in an amount equal to thirty percent (30%) of the cost of the renewable energy facility within sixty days of the facility being placed in service or, if later, within sixty days of receiving an application for such grant. *This proposal is estimated to cost \$5 million over 10 years.*

Treasury Department Low-Income Housing Grants in Lieu of Tax Credits. Under current law, taxpayers are allowed to claim a low-income housing tax credit for certain investments made in low-income housing. These tax credits help attract private capital to invest in the construction, acquisition, or rehabilitation of qualified low-income housing buildings. Current economic conditions have severely undermined the effectiveness of these tax credits. As a result, the bill would allow taxpayers to receive a grant from the Treasury Department in lieu of tax credits. Under this provision, States housing agencies would receive a grant equal to up to eighty-five percent (85%) of forty percent (40%) of the state's low-income housing tax credit allocation in lieu of the low-income housing tax credits they would have received. The subawards are subject to the same requirements (including rent, income, and use restrictions on such buildings) as the low-income housing tax credit allocations. The grant program would apply to each state's 2009 low-income housing tax credit allocation. *This provision is estimated to cost \$69 million over 10 years.*

The following information about funding included in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 was compiled by the Office of Representative John Bocchieri. This document is intended to provide the public with basic information of potential federal assistance and funding opportunities. Those interested in these or similar programs should contact the appropriate federal and state agencies for detailed information. Please note: Not all applicable programs are included below; the following information is subject to change; and additional requirements, restrictions and guidance may apply.

AGRICULTURE / INTERIOR

| Department of Agriculture | |
|--|---|
| Distance Learning, Telemedicine and Broadband Program | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To support grants, loans and loan guarantees for broadband infrastructure through the Department of Agriculture's Rural Utilities Service (RUS) broadband loan program |
| Funding Level: | \$2.5 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Grants, loans and loan guarantees |
| Timing: | USDA Secretary must issue a report on planned spending and obligations describing the use of the funds within 90 days of enactment of the Act |
| Eligible Applicant: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eligibility Rules of the RUS Broadband Loan Program apply <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ For RUS broadband loan and loan guarantees, legally organized entities providing or proposing to provide broadband service in eligible rural communities, as defined by the RUS rules, are eligible. Individuals or partnerships of individuals are not eligible. Entities that serve more than 2 percent of the telephone subscriber lines installed in the U.S. are not eligible. State and local governments are eligible if no other eligible entity is already offering or has committed to offer broadband service to eligible rural communities, to be determined by RUS ○ For RUS broadband grants, legally organized entities and State or local governments who have the legal capacity and authority to own and operate broadband facilities are eligible ○ Eligible rural community is defined as a place in the U.S. or its territories that has no more than 20,000 inhabitants based on the most recent U.S. Census Bureau statistics and is not in an area designated as a standard metropolitan statistical area. |
| Special Criteria | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At least 75 percent of the areas targeted for funding must be rural and without sufficient access to high speed broadband service in order to facilitate rural economic development, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture • Under the RUS broadband program, applicants must comply with a 20 percent loan equity requirement. An applicant must provide verifiable credit support equal to 20 percent of the requested loan amount • Priority to projects that offer end users a choice of more than one service provider • Priority to projects that provide service to the high proportion of rural residents that do not have access to broadband service • Priority given to project applications from current and former borrowers of RUS funds authorized under the Rural Electrification Act and to projects that can commence immediately • Priority given to projects that demonstrate that they would be fully funded or can be completed with RUS grants or loan backing • No area of a recipient's project funded hereunder may receive funding to provide broadband service under the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program |

| Department of Agriculture | |
|--|--|
| National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Watershed and Flood | |

| Prevention Operations Program | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | NRCS |
| Purpose: | To purchase and restore floodplain easements and investment in both structural and non-structural watershed infrastructure improvements |
| Funding Level: | \$290 million |
| Allocation Method: | Loans |
| Eligible Applicants: | <p>Sponsoring local organizations of authorized watershed projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Local organization” means any State, political subdivision thereof, soil or water conservation district, flood prevention or control district, or combinations thereof, or any other agency having authority under State law to carry out, maintain and operate the works of improvement; or any irrigation or reservoir company, water users' association, or similar organization having such authority and not being operated for profit that may be approved by the Secretary; or any Indian tribe or tribal organization having authority under Federal, State, or Indian tribal law to carry out, maintain, and operate the works of improvement |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority to projects that most cost-effectively provide the greatest public safety, flood protection, economic, and environmental benefits • NRCS must complete existing infrastructure projects that have already initiated the planning, design or construction work • Priority to projects that can initiate work as soon as possible • Funds should be allocated to projects that can be fully funded and completed with the funds appropriated |

| Department of Agriculture | |
|---|--|
| NRCS, Watershed Rehabilitation Program | |
| Administrator: | NRCS |
| Purpose: | To rehabilitate aging flood control infrastructure |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Loans |
| Eligible Applicants: | <p>Sponsoring local organizations of authorized watershed projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local organization means any State, political subdivision thereof, soil or water conservation district, flood prevention or control district, or combinations thereof, or any other agency having authority under State law to carry out, maintain and operate the works of improvement; or any irrigation or reservoir company, water users' association, or similar organization having such authority and not being operated for profit that may be approved by the Secretary; or any Indian tribe or tribal organization having authority under Federal, State, or Indian tribal law to carry out, maintain, and operate the works of improvement |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority to projects that are at greatest risk of failure and present threats to public safety • Priority to projects that that can obligate and expend funds cost effectively and quickly |

| Department of Agriculture | |
|---|----------------|
| Forest Service, Capital Improvements and Maintenance | |
| Administrator: | Forest Service |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Purpose: | To provide funding for reconstruction, capital improvement, decommissioning and maintenance of forest roads, bridges and trails including related watershed restoration ecosystem enhancements projects and for remediation of abandoned mine sites, removal of fish passage barriers, and other critical habitat, forest improvements and watershed enhancement projects |
| Funding Level: | \$650 million |
| Allocation Method: | Project grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and U.S. territories |

Department of Agriculture

Wildland Fire Management

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Forest Service |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for hazardous fuels reduction, forest health protection, rehabilitation and hazard mitigation activities on Federal land including hazardous fuel reduction, forest health and ecosystem improvements |
| Funding Level: | \$500 million |
| Allocation Method: | Project grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and U.S. territories |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$50 million must be used to make wood-to-energy grants to promote increased utilization of biomass from Federal, State and private lands • Funds provided for activities on State and private lands shall not be subject to matching or cost share requirements |

Department of Agriculture

Farm Service Agency

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Farm Service Agency |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for salaries and expenses to maintain and modernize the information and technology system |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Appropriations and transfers from the CCC export credit guarantees, Public Law 480 loans, and agricultural credit insurance fund program accounts, and miscellaneous advances from other sources |
| Eligible Applicants: | FSA, program administration |

Department of Agriculture

RUS, Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | RUS |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for rural waste, waste water and waste disposal programs to support \$3.788 billion in loans and grants for rural water and waste disposal facilities |
| Funding Level: | \$1.38 billion in new budget authority |
| Allocation Method: | Direct loans and grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and U.S. territories |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$2.82 billion for direct loans • \$986 million for grants |

Department of Agriculture

Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Administrator: | Rural Housing Service |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Purpose: | To support the principal amount of direct and guaranteed loans as authorized by title V of the Housing Act of 1949 to be available form funds in the Rural Housing Insurance Fund |
| Funding Level: | \$200 million |
| Allocation Method: | Direct and guaranteed loans |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rental housing insured loans <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Farm owner or to a public or private nonprofit organization • Farm labor housing insured loans <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Individuals, corporations, associations, trusts, or partnerships |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loan programs are limited to rural areas which include towns, villages, and other places of not more than 10,000 people, which are not part of an urban area. • Loans may also be made in areas with a population in excess of 10,000, but less than 20,000, if the area is not included in a standard metropolitan statistical area and has a serious lack of mortgage credit for low- and moderate-income borrowers • \$1 billion for direct single family housing loans • Additional \$67 million for direct loans, including modifying loans under Section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act • \$10.472 billion for guaranteed single family housing loans • Additional \$133 million for Section 502 unsubsidized guaranteed loans |

Department of Agriculture

Rural Community Facilities Program

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Rural Housing Service |
| Purpose: | To support \$1.234 billion in loans and grants authorized by Section 381E(d)(1) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act for rural community facilities including hospitals, health clinics, health and safety vehicles and equipment, public buildings, and child and elder care facilities |
| Funding Level: | \$130 million |
| Allocation Method: | Loans and block grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Multi-State, regional, private, non-profit 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organizations |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1.171 billion for direct community facility loans • \$63 million for community facility grants |

Department of Agriculture

Rural Business Program

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Rural Business – Cooperative Service |
| Purpose: | To support \$3.01 billion in rural business loans and grants authorized by Section 310B(a)(2)(A) and 310B(c) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million in new Budget Authority |
| Allocation Method: | Loans and grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | <p>Public bodies and private nonprofit corporations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Including eligible nonprofit entity, or other tax-exempt organization, with a principal office in an area that is located on land of an existing or former Native American reservation and in a city, town, or unincorporated area that has a population of not more than 5,000 inhabitants |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$2.99 billion for guaranteed and industry loans • \$20 million for rural business enterprise grants |

| | |
|--|---|
| Department of Agriculture | |
| USDA Research Service, Buildings and Facilities | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for maintenance of the USDA's laboratory and research infrastructure |
| Funding Level: | \$176 million |
| Allocation Method: | Funds directed to agency |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Priority in use of these funds will be given to critical deferred maintenance Priority given to projects that can be completed Priority given to activities that can commence promptly following enactment of the Act |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Department of Agriculture | |
| National School Lunch Program | |
| Administrator: | The State |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the National School Lunch Program under the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act, except Section 21, and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, except Sections 17 and 21 to carry out a grant program for the National School Lunch Program equipment assistance |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Public and non-profit private schools and residential child care institutions |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds must be provided in proportion to each State's administrative expense allocation States must provide competitive grants to school food authorities based on the need for equipment assistance in participating schools Priority given to schools in which 50 percent or more of the students are eligible for free or reduced price meals under the National School Lunch Program |

| | |
|--|---|
| Department of Agriculture | |
| Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To provide additional funding for the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program authorized by Section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 |
| Funding Level: | \$500 million |
| Allocation Method: | Federal grant program |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local agency |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$400 million to be placed in reserve to be allocated as deemed necessary by the USDA Secretary, notwithstanding Section 17(i) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 \$100 million for purposes specified in Section 17(h)(10)(B)(ii) Up to 1 percent of the funding provided under Section 17(h)(10)(B)(ii) may be reserved for administrative activities |

| Department of Agriculture | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Commodity Assistance Program | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the emergency food assistance program as authorized by Section 27(a) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 and Section 204(a)(1) of the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983 |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million |
| Allocation Method: | Federal grants to States |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distributing to public or private nonprofit organizations that provide food and nutrition assistance to the needy • Households that meet State eligibility criteria |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$ Secretary may use up to \$50 million for costs associated with the distribution of commodities • \$25 million must be available in Fiscal Year 2009 |

| Department of Agriculture | |
|--|--|
| Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for food purchases under the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (a.k.a. the Food Stamp Program), to increase benefits under this program, and to supplement the costs relating to facility improvements and equipment upgrades associated with the Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations as established under Section 4(b) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 |
| Funding Level: | Estimated cost is \$4.9 billion for Fiscal Year 2009; administrative expenses detailed below |
| Allocation Method: | Benefits and block grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Benefits increase • Beginning on the 1st full month 25 days after enactment, benefit values will be calculated using 113.6 percent of the June 2008 value of the thrifty food plan as specified under the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Terminates on September 30, 2009 • Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program • \$145 million for State administrative expenses for Fiscal Year 2009, to be made available within 60 days of enactment of the Act • \$150 million for State administrative expenses for Fiscal Year 2010 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$4.5 million for Secretary oversight and management • Allocation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 75 percent of funds available for each Fiscal Year must be allocated to States based on the share of each State of households that participate in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program as reported by USDA for the most recent 12 month period that data is available, adjusted by participate in disaster programs ○ 25 percent of funds available for each Fiscal Year must be allocated to States based on the increase in number of households that participate in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program as reported by USDA for the most recent 12-month period for which data are available, adjusted by participation in disaster programs |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$5 million for facility improvements and equipment upgrades associated with the Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations ○ Administrative costs sharing requirements are not applicable to funds provided in accordance with this provision |
|--|---|

| | |
|---|---|
| Department of Agriculture | |
| Agriculture Disaster Assistance Transition, Farm Operating Loans | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To provide the principal amount of direct farm operating loans under Section 311 of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act |
| Funding Level: | \$193.807 million |
| Allocation Method: | Loans |
| Eligible Applicants: | <p>Eligible producers under the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Farmers and ranchers in the U.S., and farm cooperatives and private domestic corporations, partnerships, joint operations, trusts, and limited liability companies that are controlled by farmers and ranchers and engaged primarily and directly in farming or ranching in the U.S., subject to certain conditions |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$193.8 million for direct farm operating loan program <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Additional \$20.44 million for direct farm operating loan program, including modifying the loan |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department of Agriculture | |
| Aquaculture Assistance | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Agriculture |
| Purpose: | To assist eligible aquaculture producers for losses associated with the high feed input costs during the 2008 calendar year |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Grant |
| Eligible Applicants: | <p>States, eligible aquaculture producers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aquaculture is the business of farming aquatic plants and animals |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Eligible aquaculture producers” means an aquaculture producer that during the 2008 calendar year, as determined by the Secretary, produced an aquaculture species for which feed costs represented a substantial percentage of the input costs of the aquaculture operation and experienced a substantial price increase in feed costs above the previous 5-year average • Funded through the Commodity Credit Corporation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$50 million will remain available until September 30, 2010 ○ Timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 60 days of enactment, the Secretary must notify the State Department of agriculture in each State of the availability of funds • Within 120 days of enactment, the Secretary must make grants to the State ○ Requirements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds allocated only to States that demonstrate State will: • Use the grant funds to assist eligible aquaculture producers • Provide assistance within 60 days after the date on which the State receives grant funds |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 30 days after the State provides assistance to eligible aquaculture producers, submit a report that describes its funding activities, the amount of assistance and the procedures it used ○ Eligible aquaculture producers that receive funding under this provision may not receive any other assistance under the supplemental agriculture disaster assistance program |
|--|--|

INTERIOR

| | |
|--|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR | |
| United States Fish and Wildlife Service | |
| Resource Management | |
| Administrator: | FWS Director |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for the management of lands and resources |
| Funding Level: | \$300 million |
| Allocation Method: | FWS allocations to regional/State offices |
| Eligible Applicants: | National Wildlife Refuges, National Fish Hatcheries, and other service properties |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasis on maximizing the largest number of jobs in the shortest period of time. • Funding for critical deferred maintenance, capital improvement projects, trail maintenance and habitat restoration |

| | |
|--|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR | |
| United States Fish and Wildlife Service | |
| Construction | |
| Administrator: | FWS Director |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for construction |
| Funding Level: | \$300 million |
| Allocation Method: | FWS allocations to regional/State offices |
| Eligible Applicants: | National Wildlife Refuges, National Fish Hatcheries, and other service properties |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasis on maximizing jobs in the shortest period of time • Funding for: Construction, reconstruction, and repair of roads, bridges, property and facilities; Energy efficient retrofits of existing facilities |

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR | |
| National Park Service | |
| Operations | |
| Administrator: | FWS Director |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for deferred maintenance and other critical repair |
| Funding Level: | \$146 million |
| Allocation Method: | NPS allocations to NPS facilities |
| Eligible Applicants: | National Parks and NPS facilities |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasis on maximizing jobs in the shortest period of time • Emphasis on lasting value for the Park Service and its visitors • Eligible projects to be funded within this account include but are not limited to: |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Repair and rehabilitation of facilities and other infrastructure ○ Trail maintenance projects ○ Other critical infrastructure needs |
|--|---|

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR | |
| Historic Preservation Fund | |
| Construction | |
| Administrator: | NPS Director |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for construction funding for NPS facilities |
| Funding Level: | \$589 Million |
| Allocation Method: | NPS discretionary allocation to NPS facilities |
| Eligible Applicants: | NPS |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasis on prioritizing projects that maximize jobs in the shortest period of time • Emphasis on lasting value for the Park Service and its visitors • Eligible projects to be funded within this account include but are not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Major facility construction ○ Road maintenance ○ Abandoned mine cleanup ○ Equipment replacement ○ Preservation and rehabilitation of historic assets |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR | |
| United States Geological Survey | |
| Surveys, Investigations and Research | |
| Administrator: | USGS Director |
| Purpose: | To authorize a wide variety of activities |
| Funding Level: | \$140 million |
| Allocation Method: | USGS discretionary allocations |
| Eligible Applicants: | USGS programs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasis on maximizing jobs and improving the nation’s science capacity • A wide variety of activities is authorized, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Repair, construction and restoration of facilities ○ Equipment replacement and upgrades including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stream gages • Seismic and volcano monitoring systems • National map activities • Other critical deferred maintenance and improvement projects |

ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| Army Corps of Engineers – Civil Works | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| General Investigations | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of the Army |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for investigations |
| Funding Level: | \$25 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary allocations to Corps activities, projects or programs |
| Eligible Applicants: | Army Corps, for managed projects, programs and activities normally funded by Energy and Water Appropriations Acts |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spending limited to projects that can be carried out without new budget authority • Secretary must report to Congress within 45 days of enactment on the planned allocation, obligation and expenditures in this account • Secretary is given unlimited reprogramming authority |

| Army Corps of Engineers – Civil Works | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Construction General | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of the Army |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funds for Construction projects |
| Funding Level: | \$2 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary allocations to Corps projects |
| Eligible Applicants: | Army Corps, for managed projects, programs and activities normally funded by Energy and Water Appropriations Acts |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not less than \$200 million set aside for water-related environmental infrastructure (Section 219) project assistance • Spending limited to projects that can be carried out without new budget authority • Funding cannot be cost-shared with the Inland Waterways Trust Fund • For the purposes of this Act, waives portions of existing law that cap annual spending on certain Corps projects or limit total project costs • Secretary must report to Congress within 45 days of enactment on the planned allocation, obligation and expenditures in this account • Secretary is given unlimited reprogramming authority |

| Army Corps of Engineers – Civil Works | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Operations and Maintenance | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of the Army |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for operations and maintenance |
| Funding Level: | \$2.075 Billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary allocation by the Secretary of the Army |
| Eligible Applicants: | Corps projects, activities and programs normally funded by Energy and Water Appropriations Acts |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spending limited to projects that can be carried out without new budget authority • Removes the \$20 million annual cap for spending on levees authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secretary must report to Congress within 45 days of enactment on the planned allocation, obligation and expenditures in this account and shall submit a quarterly report thereafter Secretary is given unlimited reprogramming authority |
|--|---|

| Army Corps of Engineers – Civil Works | |
|--|--|
| Mississippi River and Tributaries | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Army/ Mississippi Valley Division RIT |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funds for the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project |
| Funding Level: | \$375 million |
| Allocation Method: | Congressional Designation |
| Eligible Applicants: | Mississippi River and Tributaries project/Mississippi River Commission |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spending limited to projects that can be carried out without new budget authority waives portions of existing law that limits total project costs Secretary is given unlimited reprogramming authority |

| Army Corps of Engineers – Civil Works | |
|--|---|
| Regulatory Program | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of the Army |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for the regulatory program |
| Funding Level: | \$25 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary allocations within the Regulatory Program |
| Eligible Applicants: | Army Corps of Engineers |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Corps evaluates permit applications for construction activities that occur in the Nation's waters, including wetlands ("404" permit process). The mission of the Corps Regulatory Program is to protect aquatic resources, while allowing reasonable development through fair, flexible and balanced permit decisions |

| Army Corps of Engineers – Civil Works | |
|---|--|
| Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program (FUSRAP) | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of the Army/ FUSRAP Military Programs Team |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for FUSRAP projects |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary allocations |
| Eligible Applicants: | FUSRAP projects |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are currently 23 active FUSRAP sites in nine States that are in the program, none pose an immediate threat to human health or the environment. At these sites, remedial action is planned, under way or pending final closeout Funds can be spent on Corps FUSRAP projects that can be carried out without new budget authority Secretary is given unlimited reprogramming authority |

COMMERCE

| |
|-------------------------------|
| Department of Commerce |
|-------------------------------|

| Economic Development Assistance Programs | |
|---|--|
| Administrator: | Economic Development Administration (EDA) |
| Purpose: | To leverage private investment, stimulate employment and increase incomes in economically distressed communities |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million, with \$50 million for economic adjustment assistance |
| Allocation Method: | Applicants submit an Investment Assistance proposal. Proposals are accepted on a competitive and continuing basis |
| Eligible Applicants: | EDA considers “eligible applicants” to be a city or political subdivision of a State, State, public or private non-profit organization or association, district organization, Indian tribe or consortium of Indian tribes, private individual or for-profit organization |
| Special Criteria: | <p>Priority consideration given to areas that experienced sudden and severe economic dislocation and job loss due to corporate restructuring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to \$50 million of funds may be transferred to federally authorized regional economic development commissions • Under EDA, project must be in a regional is subject to distress criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ An unemployment rate that is at least one percentage point greater than national average unemployment rate ○ Per capita income that is 80 percent or less of the national average per capita income; or ○ A special need, as determined by the Economic Development Administration |

| Department of Commerce | |
|--|--|
| Digital-To-Analog Converter Box Program | |
| Administrator: | National Telecommunications and Information Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide additional implementation and administration of the Digital-to-analog converter box coupon program, including additional coupons to meet new projected demands and consumer support, outreach and administration |
| Funding Level: | \$650 million, with \$90 million toward education and outreach to organizations for programs to educate vulnerable populations, senior citizens, minority communities, people with disabilities, low-income individuals and people living in rural areas, about the transition and to provide one-on-one assistance for converter box installation |
| Allocation Method: | Coupons mailed directly to applicants’ home. Education and outreach fund allocation to be determined |
| Eligible Applicants: | General public |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amounts may be transferred to the Federal Communications Commission if the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with the FCC, deems it necessary and appropriate, and only if the House and Senate Appropriations Committees are notified up to 5 days in advance of the fund transfer |

| SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION | |
|--|--|
| Surety Bond Guarantees Revolving Fund | |
| Administrator: | Small Business Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the Surety Bond Guarantees Revolving Fund authorized by the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 |
| Funding Level: | \$15 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The funds will remain available until expended |
|--------------------------|--|

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Business Loans Program Account

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Small Business Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the cost of direct loans and guaranteed loans |
| Funding Level: | \$6 million (direct loans) and \$630 million (guaranteed loans) |
| Allocation Method: | Direct and guaranteed loans |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The funds will remain available until September 30, 2010 \$375 million of the amount of the cost of guaranteed loans must be for reimbursements, loan subsidies and loan modifications for loans to small business concerns authorized in Section 501 \$255 million must be for loan subsidies and loan modifications for loans to small business concerns authorized in Section 506 The cost of modifying the loans must be as defined in Section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974. The Conference Report also contains new authorities, which include fee reductions under Section 502 and temporary fee elimination for the 504 loan program; guarantees of up to 90 percent of qualifying small business loans; SBA Secondary Market Guarantee Authority; low interest refinancing under the Local Development Business Loan Program; simplification of the maximum leverage limits and aggregate investment limits required of small business investment companies; the small business stabilization program; certain revisions to the Section 508 surety bond provisions; and establishment of the SBA secondary market lending authority. Notably, the Act does not contain any changes to the existing Small Business and 8(a) Federal Contracting Programs. |

DEFENSE

| Department of Defense | |
|--|---|
| Facility Infrastructure Investments | |
| Administrator: | Service Installation Commands |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for facility sustainment, restoration and modernization associated with maintaining physical structures at Department of Defense posts, camps and stations |
| Funding Level: | \$4.24 billion (Army: \$1,474,525,000; Navy: \$657,051,000; Marine Corps \$113,865,000; Air Force: \$1,095,959,000; Army Reserve: \$98,269,000; Navy Reserve: \$55,083,000; Marine Corps Reserve: \$39,909,000; Air Force Reserve: \$13,187,000; Army National Guard: \$266,304,000; Air National Guard: \$25,848,000) |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Department of Defense facilities |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Secretary of Defense shall provide a written report to the congressional defense committees within 60 days of enactment with a project listing of how the funds will be obligated • Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010 • For facilities in the United States and its territories |

| Department of Defense | |
|--|--|
| Energy Efficiency Technology and Research | |
| Administrator: | Offices of the Assistant Secretaries for Research, Development and Acquisition |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the funding of research, development, test and evaluation projects, including pilot projects, demonstration projects and energy efficient manufacturing enhancements. Funds are for improvements in energy generation and efficiency, transmission, regulation, storage and for use on military installations and within operational forces, to include research and development of energy from fuel cells, wind, solar, and other renewable energy sources to include bio-fuels and bio-energy |
| Funding Level: | \$300 million (Army: \$75,000,000; Navy: \$75,000,000; Air Force: \$75,000,000; Defense Wide: \$75,000,000) |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Contractors, government laboratories and facilities, universities and nonprofit organizations |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Secretary of Defense is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees detailing the planned use of these funds within 60 day of enactment • Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010 |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

| MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Service Installation Commands |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the planning and design of military construction projects in the United States |
| Funding Level: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Army: \$180 million (\$100 million for troop housing, \$80 million for child development centers) • Navy and Marine Corps: \$280 million (\$100 million for troop housing, \$80 million for child development centers, \$100 million for energy conservation and alternative energy projects) • Air Force: \$180 million (\$100 million troop housing, \$80 million for child development) • Defense Wide: \$1.45 billion (\$1.33 billion for construction of hospitals, \$120 million for Energy Conservation Investment Program) • Army National Guard: \$50 million • Air National Guard: \$ 50 million • Army, Family Housing Construction: \$34.5 million • Army, Family Housing Operation and Maintenance: \$3.93 million • Air Force, Family Housing Construction: \$80.1 million • Air Force, Family Housing Operation and Maintenance: \$16.46 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Military branches |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2013, provided that within 30 days of enactment, the Secretary of Defense submits to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for the funds • Exception: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ As for construction for the Army National Guard, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of the Army National Guard, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 30 days of the enactment, an expenditure plan for the funds ○ As for construction for the Air National Guard, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of the Air National Guard, shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress within 30 days of enactment, an expenditure plan for the funds |

EDUCATION

| Department of Education | |
|--|--|
| Education for the Disadvantaged | |
| Administrator: | Department of Education; Office of Elementary and Secondary Education |
| Purpose: | To help school districts mitigate the effect of the reduction in local revenues and State support for education |
| Funding Level: | \$13 billion |
| Allocation Method: | State pass-through by formula or grant to Local Education Authority (LEA) (for distribution during schools years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011) |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each LEA receiving funds must file a school-by-school listing of per-pupil educational expenditures from State and local sources during the 2008-2009 academic year to its State education agency no later than December 1, 2009. States must report that information to the Secretary of Education by March 31, 2010. |

| Department of Education | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Title I | |
| Administrator: | State education agencies |
| Purpose: | To distribute funding to schools and school districts with a high percentage of students from low-income families |
| Funding Level: | \$10 billion |
| Allocation Method: | State pass-through formula: \$5 billion through targeted formula; \$5 billion through education finance incentive grant formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local Education Authority -- LEAs with 40 percent or more students from families that qualify as low-income |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some of the funding should be used for early childhood programs and activities |

| Department of Education | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| School Improvement | |
| Administrator: | State education agencies |
| Purpose: | Funding for academic assessment and LEA and school improvement |
| Funding Level: | \$3 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local Education Authority -- LEAs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 40 percent should be used for middle and high schools |

| Department of Education | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Impact Aid | |
| Administrator: | Office of Elementary and Secondary Education |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for to undertake emergency renovations and modernization projects |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary grants |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Eligible Applicants: | Local Education Authority -- LEAs that educate federally-connected students of have federally owned land |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current law modified to allow for greater participation of school districts impacted by both students whose parents are associated with the military and students residing on tribal lands, and to allow funding to be better targeted to districts that have "shovel ready" facility projects, including those that address health and safety and ADA compliance issues, among others |

Department of Education

Enhancing Education through Technology

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Office of Elementary and Secondary Education; School Support and Technology Programs |
| Purpose: | To improve student achievement through the use of technology in elementary and secondary schools |
| Funding Level: | \$650 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | State education agencies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds available during school years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 |

Department of Education

Education for the Homeless Children and Youth

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Office of Elementary and Secondary Education; Student Achievement and School Accountability Programs |
| Purpose: | To ensure that homeless children, including preschoolers and youths, have equal access to free and appropriate public education |
| Funding Level: | \$70 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | State education agencies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds available during school years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 Each State will receive a grant that is proportionate to the number of homeless students identified as such during the 2007-2008 school year relative to the number of homeless children nationally during the same year States will award sub-grants to LEAs on a competitive basis, or using a formula based on the number of homeless students identified in each school district in the State |

Department of Education

Teacher Incentive Fund (TIF)

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Office of Elementary and Secondary Education; Academic Improvement and Teacher Quality Programs |
| Purpose: | To develop and implement performance-based teacher and principal compensation systems in high-need schools that consider gains in student academic achievement as well as classroom evaluations conducted multiple times during each school year among other factors and provide educators with incentive to take on additional responsibilities and leadership |
| Funding Level: | \$200 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local education agencies, nonprofit organizations, other organizations and/or |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| | agencies, State education agencies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Institute for Education Sciences is required to conduct a rigorous national evaluation of TIF to assess the impact of performance-based teacher and principal compensation systems • 1 percent of the total funding will be used for management and oversight of the Teacher Incentive Fund • Some funds will be granted for technical assistance, training, peer review of applications, program outreach, and evaluation activities |

| | |
|--|---|
| Department of Education | |
| Special Education - Individuals with Disabilities Act Part B, Section 611 | |
| Administrator: | Office of Special Education Programs |
| Purpose: | To assist States to provide special education and related services to eligible students with disabilities ages 3 through 21 who are enrolled in special education programs |
| Funding Level: | \$11.3 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Grants to States (pass-through to LEAs) based on the number of children with disabilities in the State who are receiving special education and related services |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds available during schools years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 • The amount set aside for Department of Interior transfer to Native Americans shall be equal to the lesser amount available during Fiscal Year 2008, increased by inflation or an 80 percent increase of the amount allotted for the Fiscal Year |

| | |
|---|---|
| Department of Education | |
| Individuals with Disabilities Act Part B, Section 619 (Preschool Grants) | |
| Administrator: | Office of Special Education Programs |
| Purpose: | To assist States to provide special education and related services to children with disabilities aged 3 through 5 and, at the State's discretion, to 2-year old children with disabilities who will turn 3 during the school year |
| Funding Level: | \$400 million |
| Allocation Method: | Grants to States (pass-through to LEAs) based on population, including consideration for the number of children living in poverty |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds available during schools years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 |

| | |
|---|--|
| Department of Education | |
| Individuals with Disabilities Act Part C | |
| Administrator: | Office of Special Education Programs |
| Purpose: | To assist States to maintain and implement a statewide, comprehensive, coordinated, multidisciplinary, interagency system to provide early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families |
| Funding Level: | \$500 million |
| Allocation Method: | Grants to States (pass-through to LEAs) based on population, including consideration for the number of children living in poverty |
| Eligible Applicants: | Grants to States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds available during schools years 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 • The Department of Education is required to reserve the amount needed for |

| | |
|--|--|
| | grants to outlying areas and allocate any remaining funds to each State an amount that bears the same ratio to the amount of such remainder as the number of infants and toddlers in the State bears to the number of infants and toddlers in all States |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|---|
| Department of Education | |
| Rehabilitation Services and Disability Research Vocational Rehabilitation State Grants | |
| Administrator: | Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services; Rehabilitation Services Administration |
| Purpose: | To assist States to support a wide range of services designed to help individuals with disabilities prepare for and engage in gainful employment |
| Funding Level: | \$540 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds provided through this Act will not be considered in determining future appropriations. • The Federal share of services provided with these funds will be 100 percent |

| | |
|---|--|
| Department of Education | |
| Independent Living Programs – State Grants | |
| Administrator: | Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services; Rehabilitation Services Administration |
| Purpose: | To support projects that provide independent living services, directly or through grant or contract, and demonstrate ways to expand and improve them |
| Funding Level: | \$87.5 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary/competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Nonprofit organizations |

| | |
|---|--|
| Department of Education | |
| Services for Older Blind Individuals | |
| Administrator: | Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services; Rehabilitation Services Administration |
| Purpose: | To support services for individuals age 55 or older whose severe visual impairment makes competitive employment difficult to obtain but for whom independent living goals are feasible |
| Funding Level: | \$34.3 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | State vocational rehabilitation (VR) agencies serving individuals who are blind |

| | |
|---|--|
| Department of Education | |
| Student Financial Assistance – Pell Grants | |
| Administrator: | Office of Federal Student Aid |
| Purpose: | To provide need-based grants to low-income undergraduate and certain post-baccalaureate students to promote access to postsecondary education |
| Funding Level: | \$15.64 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Grants - financial need is determined by the U.S. Department of Education using a standard formula that factors income (student and parents), household size and |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | number of higher education students within the household. |
| Eligible Applicants: | Undergraduate and vocational students enrolled or accepted for enrollment in participating schools |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding is available to support a \$4,860 maximum Pell Grant award for the 2009-2010 award year • \$1.474 billion also provided for the mandatory component of the Pell Grant program • With the additional \$490 in mandatory funding, combined with the increase in the Fiscal Year 2009 omnibus, the maximum Pell Grant award will be \$5,350 |

Department of Education

Federal Work Study

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Office of Federal Student Aid |
| Purpose: | To provides funds that are earned through part-time employment to assist students in financing the costs of postsecondary education |
| Funding Level: | \$18.2 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Institutions of higher education may apply for an allocation of funds to be awarded to undergraduate, vocational, and graduate students enrolled or accepted for enrollment at participating schools |

Department of Education

Student Aid Administration

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for administration of the Pell Grant, work study, and the direct loan program, which provide grants and loans to help students pay for education after high school |
| Funding Level: | \$60 million |
| Allocation Method: | |
| Eligible Applicants: | |

Department of Education

Higher Education – Teacher Quality Enhancement

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Office of Postsecondary Education |
| Purpose: | To improve teacher recruitment, preparation, and support to reduce shortages of qualified teachers in high-need school districts |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary/competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | State Grants: State education agencies Partnership and recruitment grants: institutions of higher education, local education agencies |

Department of Education

Institute of Education Sciences

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Office of Postsecondary Education |
| Purpose: | For statewide data systems that include postsecondary and workforce information |
| Funding Level: | \$250,000,000 |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary/competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to \$5 million may be used for State data coordinator and for awards to public or private organizations or agencies to improve data coordination |
|--------------------------|---|

| Department of Education | |
|---|---|
| STATE FISCAL STABILIZATION FUND -- State Fiscal Stabilization Fund | |
| Administrator: | Department of Education, Office of the Secretary |
| Purpose: | To provide fiscal relieve to the States to prevent tax increases and cutback in critical education and other services |
| Funding Level: | \$53.6 billion (available upon enactment) |
| Allocation Method: | State population allocation; Competitive Incentive Grants and Innovation Fund awards (\$5 billion); 0.5 percent set-aside for outlying areas |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States receiving funds must submit an annual report describing the uses of funds; the distribution of funds; the number of jobs saved or created; tax increases diverted; progress in reducing inequities in the distribution of highly-qualified teachers, developing a longitudinal data system and implementing valid assessments; actions taken to limit tuition and fee increases at public institutions of higher education; the extent to which public institutions of higher education maintained, increased, or decreased enrollments of in-State students; and a description of each modernization, renovation and repair project funded, including project costs The Secretary may waive or modify any requirement for States and LEAs that have experienced a precipitous decline in financial resources Funds should not be used to provide financial assistance to students to attend private elementary or secondary schools |

| Department of Education | |
|---|---|
| STATE FISCAL STABILIZATION FUND -- State Fiscal Stabilization Fund | |
| Administrator: | Department of Education, Office of the Secretary |
| Purpose: | To provide fiscal relieve to the States to prevent tax increases and cutback in critical education and other services |
| Funding Level: | \$53.6 billion (available upon enactment) |
| Allocation Method: | State population allocation; Competitive Incentive Grants and Innovation Fund awards (\$5 billion); 0.5 percent set-aside for outlying areas |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> States receiving funds must submit an annual report describing the uses of funds; the distribution of funds; the number of jobs saved or created; tax increases diverted; progress in reducing inequities in the distribution of highly-qualified teachers, developing a longitudinal data system and implementing valid assessments; actions taken to limit tuition and fee increases at public institutions of higher education; the extent to which public institutions of higher education maintained, increased, or decreased enrollments of in-State students; and a description of each modernization, renovation and repair project funded, including project costs The Secretary may waive or modify any requirement for States and LEAs that have experienced a precipitous decline in financial resources Funds should not be used to provide financial assistance to students to attend private elementary or secondary schools |

| Department of Education | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| State Allocations | |
| Administrator: | Department of Education, Office of the Secretary |
| Purpose: | To restore State aid to elementary, secondary, and higher education |
| Funding Level: | Approximately \$48 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Population allocation (61 percent based on relative population of individuals aged 5 through 24; 39 percent based on relative total population) |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds not committed within 2 years will be reallocated • Governors must submit applications for funding describing how the States intend to use their allocations and make assurances that the State will, in each of Fiscal Years 2009, 2010 and 2011, maintain State support for elementary, secondary, and public postsecondary education at least at Fiscal Year 2006 levels and address 4 key areas: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Achieve equity in teacher distribution to address inequities in the distribution of highly qualified teachers between high- and low-poverty schools, and to ensure that low-income and minority children are not taught at higher rates than other children by inexperienced, unqualified, or out-of-field teachers. 2) Establish a longitudinal data system that includes the elements described in the America COMPETES Act 3) Enhance the quality of academic assessments relating to English language learners and students with disabilities, and improve State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards 4) Ensure compliance with corrective actions required for low-performing schools • Governors must use 81.8 percent of allocation to support elementary, secondary, and higher education: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) First to school districts under the State's primary elementary and secondary education funding formula to the greater of Fiscal Year 2008 or Fiscal Year 2009 in each of Fiscal Years 2010, 2011, and 2012; 2) To public institutions of higher education to the greater of Fiscal Year 2008 or Fiscal Year 2009 to the extent feasible; and 3) Remaining funds allocated to school districts based on Federal Title I 4) If funds are insufficient to meet #1 and #2 above, the Governor may allocate funds between clauses in proportion to the relative shortfall in State support for each • Governors must use 18.2 percent of allocation for public safety and other government services, including education services. These funds may be used for elementary, secondary, and higher education modernization, renovation, and repair activities that are consistent with State laws • Use of funds by LEAs: activities authorized under the ESEA1, IDEA2, or Perkins3 Acts, and for school modernization, renovation, and repair of public facilities (including charter schools), including those consistent with a recognized green building rating system • Prohibition of use of funds by LEAs: Payment of maintenance costs; modernization, renovation, or repair of stadiums or facilities used for events in which admission is charged; purchase or upgrade of vehicles; and |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>improvement of facilities whose purpose is not the education of children (administration, support facilities)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of funds by institutions of higher education: to mitigate the need to raise tuition and fees, or for modernization, renovation, or repairs of facilities that are primarily used for instruction, research, or student housing, including those consistent with a recognized green building rating system • Prohibition of use of funds by institutions of higher education: Endowment increase; maintenance; modernization, renovation, or repair of stadiums or facilities used for events in which admission is charged; modernization, renovation, or repair of facilities used for sectarian instruction or religious worship or those in which a substantial portion of the functions of the facilities are subsumed in a religious mission |
|--|---|

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Department of Education | |
| State Incentive Grants | |
| Administrator: | Department of Education, Office of the Secretary |
| Purpose: | To reward States that have made significant progress in achieving equity in teacher distribution, establishing a longitudinal data system, and enhancing assessments for English language learners and students with disabilities |
| Funding Level: | Approximately \$4.3 billion for Fiscal Year 2010 |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Governors must submit an application that describes the State’s progress in the areas mentioned above and how the State would use grant funding to continue making progress toward meeting the State’s student academic achievement standards and closing achievement gaps • States receiving a grant must use at least 50 percent to provide school districts with sub-grants based on the most recent relative Title I allocations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Elementary and Secondary Education Act ○ The Individuals with Disabilities Act ○ The Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Department of Education | |
| Innovation Fund | |
| Administrator: | Department of Education, Office of the Secretary |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for academic achievement awards to recognize schools that have made achievement gains to allow them to expand their work, to work in partnership with the private sector, and to identify and document best practices |
| Funding Level: | Up to \$650 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | School districts or partnerships between nonprofit organizations and State educational agencies, school districts, or one or more schools |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An eligible entity will have significantly closed achievement gaps, exceeded the State’s annual measurable objectives in the areas identified above, made significant improvement in other areas such as graduation rates, and demonstrate they have established partnerships with the private sector |

ENERGY

| | |
|--|--|
| Department of Energy | |
| OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY (EERE) | |
| Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grants | |
| Administrator: | Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy |
| Purpose: | To assist States, local governments, and tribes reduce fossil fuel emissions and total energy use, and improve energy efficiency in the transportation, building, and other appropriate sectors, funding financial incentives, grants for retrofits, transportation conservation, building codes, energy distribution technologies, landfill gas capture, etc. |
| Funding Level: | \$3.2 billion |
| Allocation Method: | \$2.8 billion through formula, \$400 million on a competitive basis |
| Eligible Applicants: | States (to receive 28 percent of formula funding), eligible units of local governments (68 percent of formula), and tribes (2 percent of formula) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires the local government allocation be based equally on (1) resident population; and (2) daytime populations and factors such as amount of commercial or office space • DOE may use the most recent and accurate population data available to satisfy determination of eligible units of local governments for formula methodology |

| | |
|--|--|
| Department of Energy | |
| Weatherization Assistance Program | |
| Administrator: | States, for sub-allocation to local agencies |
| Purpose: | To install energy efficiency improvements in the homes of lowincome families to reduce their energy bills |
| Funding Level: | \$5 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and Tribes |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eligibility for services increased to 200% of poverty, up from 150% • Costs allowed per housing unit increased to \$6,500 from \$2,500 • Further assistance allowed for units partially weatherized between 1975 and 1994 • Priority allowance given to use of funds for the most cost-effective efficiency activities, such as insulation of attics • Training and technical assistance funding permitted up to 20% of total appropriation. |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Department of Energy | |
| State Energy Program | |
| Administrator: | State energy office |
| Purpose: | To promote energy conservation and reduce rate of energy demand |
| Funding Level: | \$3.1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | As determined by States under existing guidelines |

| Department of Energy | |
|--|--|
| Advanced Battery Manufacturing Grants | |
| Administrator: | Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy |
| Purpose: | To support manufacturing advanced vehicle batteries & components |
| Funding Level: | \$2 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Manufacturers and others determined eligible by DOE |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DOE must provide facility funding awards to manufacturers of advanced battery systems and vehicle batteries produced in the United States, including advanced lithium ion batteries, hybrid electrical systems, component manufacturers, and software designers |
| Miscellaneous Provisions: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Secretary may use a portion EERE funds to accelerate hiring of expert staff • \$2.5 billion for applied research, development, demonstration and deployment activities, including – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$800 million for projects related to biomass; ○ \$400 million for geothermal projects and activities; and ○ \$50 million to support research to increase the efficiency of information and communications technology and improve standards ○ \$400 million for transportation electrification ○ \$300 million for an Alternative Fueled Vehicles Pilot Grant Program ○ \$300 million for the Energy Efficient Appliance Rebate Program and the Energy Star Program |

| Department of Energy | |
|---|--|
| ELECTRICITY DELIVERY AND ENERGY RELIABILITY (EDER) | |
| Administrator: | Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability |
| Purpose: | To modernize the nation’s electric grid |
| Funding Level: | \$4.5 billion, of which funds \$100 million are for worker training and \$10 million to implement a smart grid interoperability framework |
| Allocation Method: | Varies depending on program |
| Eligible Applicants: | Varies depending on program |
| Use of Funds: | <p>To purchase demand response equipment; to enhance energy infrastructure security and reliability; for energy storage research, development, demonstration and deployment, and facilitate recovery from energy supply disruptions; and for implementation of programs authorized under Title XIII – Smart Grid – of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, which include--</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smart Grid Technology Research, Development, and Demonstration (Section 1304) that includes a “Power Grid Digital Information” program and a “Smart Grid Regional Demonstration Initiative” • Implementing the Smart Grid Interoperability Framework (Section 1305) • A Federal matching fund for Smart Grid investment costs (Section 1306) • Amendment to the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) of 1978 that each State must consider requiring that, prior to undertaking investments in non-advanced grid technologies, an electric utility of the State demonstrate to the State that they considered an investment in a qualified smart grid system (Section 1307) |

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DOE, in consultation with States and other appropriate entities, to study the effect of private wire laws on the development of combined heat and power facilities (Section 1308) |
| Miscellaneous Provisions: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Secretary may use a portion EDER funds to accelerate hiring of expert staff • \$80 million for EDER to conduct a resource assessment and analysis of future demand and transmission requirements after consultation with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) • Includes technical assistance, in coordination with FERC, to the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC), the regional reliability entities, the State, and other transmission owners and operators for the formation of interconnection-based transmission plans for the Eastern and Western Interconnections and the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) – this assistance may include modeling, support to regions and States for the development of coordinated State electricity policies, programs, laws, and regulations • Enables the Secretary of Energy to use funds for transmission improvements authorized in any subsequent Act • DOE may use or transfer funds to carry out new authority for transmission improvements if such authority is enacted in any subsequent Act |

| Department of Energy | |
|---|--|
| INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM | |
| Administrator: | |
| Purpose: | To install energy efficiency improvements in the homes of lowincome families to reduce their energy bills |
| Funding Level: | \$6 billion to cover credit subsidy for loan guarantees. Assuming a 10 percent credit subsidy, the provision would support \$60 billion in loan guarantees |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive, subject to a maximum of \$500 million per leading edge biofuel project |
| Eligible Applicants: | |
| Mechanism: | Temporary new loan guarantee program, added to a program originally authorized in the Energy Policy Act of 2005, by adding Section 1705: <i>Temporary Program for Rapid Deployment of Renewable Energy and Electric Power Transmission</i> , to sunset on September 30, 2011 |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requirements - Construction must commence not later than September 30, 2011 and meet Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements • Qualifying projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Renewable energy systems, including incremental hydropower, that generate electricity or thermal energy, and facilities that manufacture related components ○ Electric power transmission systems, including upgrading and re-conductoring projects, where DOE considers the <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • viability of the project without guarantees; • availability of other Federal and incentives; • importance of the project in meeting reliability needs; and • effect of the project in meeting a State or region’s environment (including climate change) and energy goals • Leading-edge biofuel projects that will use technologies performing at the pilot |

| | |
|--|--|
| | or demonstration scale that the Secretary determines are likely to become commercial technologies and will produce transportation fuels that substantially reduce lifecycle greenhouse gas emission compared to other transportation fuels |
|--|--|

| |
|-----------------------------|
| Department of Energy |
|-----------------------------|

| |
|---|
| FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT |
|---|

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| \$3.4 billion to include: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1.52 billion for a competitive solicitation for a range of industrial carbon capture and energy efficiency improvement projects, including a small allocation for innovative concepts for beneficial carbon reuse • \$1 billion for fossil energy research and development programs • \$800 million for additional amounts for the Clean Coal Power Initiative Round III Funding Opportunity Announcement • \$50 million for a competitive solicitation for site characterization activities in geologic formations • \$20 million for geologic training and research grants • \$10 million for program direction funding |
|----------------------------------|--|

| |
|-----------------------------|
| Department of Energy |
|-----------------------------|

| |
|--|
| NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP |
|--|

| |
|--|
| URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND |
|--|

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| \$483 million | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$390 million, of which \$70 million must be made available in accordance with Remedial Action at Active Processing Sites (uranium and thorium) (Title X, Subtitle A of the Energy Policy Act of 1992) |
|----------------------|--|

| |
|-----------------------------|
| Department of Energy |
|-----------------------------|

| |
|--------------------------|
| OFFICE OF SCIENCE |
|--------------------------|

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1.6 billion • \$400 million for the Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E) |
|--|--|

| |
|-----------------------------|
| Department of Energy |
|-----------------------------|

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP |
|--------------------------------------|

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5.127 billion |
|--|---|

ENVIRONMENT

| ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Hazardous Substance Superfund | |
| Administrator: | EPA, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for Superfund |
| Funding Level: | \$600 Million |
| Allocation Method: | Project grants (cooperative agreements) |
| Eligible Applicants: | States (and political subdivisions thereof), Commonwealths, U.S. Territories and Possessions, and Federally recognized Indian Tribal Governments, including intertribal consortia |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None. Existing Superfund law/eligibility applies |

| ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY | |
|--|--|
| Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund Program | |
| Administrator: | EPA, Office of Underground Storage Tanks, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for LUSTTF cleanup activities |
| Funding Level: | \$600 Million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and Federally recognized Indian Tribal Governments, including intertribal consortia |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives applicable matching fund requirements |

| ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY | |
|--|--|
| State and Tribal Assistance Grants: State Revolving Funds | |
| Administrator: | State Revolving Fund administrators/ EPA Administrator |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental capital funding for State Revolving Funds |
| Funding Level: | \$4 billion for Clean Water State Revolving Funds \$2 Billion for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds |
| Allocation Method: | Formula grants to States; project grants from each State SRF |
| Eligible Applicants: | State Revolving Fund programs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SRF loans/grants are distributed by each State's SRF to wastewater treatment facilities; local communities, State programs, interstate agencies, and Indian tribes |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives matching requirements • Funds can be redistributed by the EPA Administrator if projects are not under contract or construction within one year • Notwithstanding priority rankings otherwise assigned, priority shall be given to projects on a State priority list that are ready to proceed to construction within 12 months of the date of enactment of this Act • Each State shall use at least 50 percent of the funds to provide additional subsidization in the form of forgiveness of principle, negative interest loans, grants, or any combination thereof • Not less than 20 percent of the SRF funds should be available for projects to address green infrastructure; water or energy efficiency improvements or other |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>environmentally innovative activities (to the extent that such projects are available for funding)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tribal set aside may be up to 1.5 percent of the total amount appropriated • Up to 4 percent of the funds appropriated may be transferred to the Indian Health Service to support management and oversight of tribal projects • No funds may be used to purchase land or easements • Funds may be used to buy, refinance or restructure debt obligations of eligible recipients only where such debt was incurred on or after October 1, 2008 |
|--|--|

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

State and Tribal Assistance Grants: Brownfields Projects

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Office of Brownfields and Land Revitalization, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response; Environmental Protection Agency |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for projects authorized by CERCLA |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Project grants (cooperative agreements) |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indian tribes, State and local governments, quasi-governmental authorities, universities and colleges, industry, and other public and private institutions and individuals |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives the 20 percent cost share requirements under CERCLA. • Brownfields project grants must be used for training, research, and technical assistance to individuals and organizations, to facilitate the inventory of brownfields properties, site assessments, cleanup of brownfields properties, community involvement, or site preparation. Grants and cooperative agreements are available to support recipients' eligible and allowable direct costs incurred under an approved work plan plus allowable programmatic costs, in accordance with established EPA policies and regulations |

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

State and Tribal Assistance Grants: Diesel Emission Reduction Act (DERA) grants

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Office of Air and Radiation; Environmental Protection Agency |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for projects and activities authorized under DERA |
| Funding Level: | \$300 million |
| Allocation Method: | Project grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A regional, State, local or tribal agency or port authority with jurisdiction over transportation or air quality; and a nonprofit organization or institution that represents or provides pollution reduction or educational services to persons or organizations that own or operate diesel fleets; or has, as its principal purpose, the promotion of transportation or air quality are eligible for assistance under this program. City, county, or municipal agencies, school districts, and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) that have jurisdiction over transportation or air quality are all eligible entities under this program |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the purpose of these funds, the Act waives the State Grant and Loan Matching Incentive Provisions in the Diesel Emission Reduction Act • DERA Grants and Cooperative agreements are available to support recipient's allowable costs incident to supporting projects to reduce emissions from diesel engines, plus allowable indirect costs, in accordance with established EPA policies and regulations. |

HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

| Department of Health and Human Services | |
|--|---|
| Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS Secretary) |
| Purpose: | To improve the infrastructure of community health centers and address shortages in the health care workforce. |
| Funding Level: | \$2.5 billion |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | Federally Qualified Community Health Centers (FQHCs) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$500 million for grants to FQHCs • \$1.5 billion for grants for construction, renovation, equipment and health information technologies for FQHCs • \$500 million to address health professions workforce shortages; of which \$75 million is for the National Health Service Corps to provide scholarships, loan repayment, and grants to training programs for equipment • Within 90 days, Secretary to provide an operational plan of activities and timeline for expenditures |
| Policy Context: | This funding continues the Bush Administration policy of substantially expanding the number of FQHCs that are now likely to provide major access in a health care reform environment. Funding also allows HRSA to expand its efforts to provide technical assistance to FQHCs on health information technology |

| Department of Health and Human Services | |
|---|--|
| National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Center for Research Resources | |
| Administrator: | National Center for Research Resources |
| Purpose: | To repair, renovate or construct extramural research facilities and to acquire shared instrumentation and other research equipment. |
| Funding Level: | \$1.3 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Grants or contracts |
| Eligible Applicants: | Not known at this time |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$1 billion for grants or contracts to construct, renovate or repair existing non-Federal research facilities • \$300 million for National Center for Research Resources to provide shared instrumentation and other capital research equipment to recipients of grants and contracts |
| Policy Context: | These funds are designed to provide the infrastructure to maximize scientific and health benefits of research. |

| Department of Health and Human Services | |
|---|--|
| National Institutes of Health (NIH) Office of the Director | |
| Administrator: | Office of the Director |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for specific scientific challenges, new research that expands the scope of ongoing projects, and research on public and international health priorities; and to fund construction and renovation of NIH buildings and facilities. |
| Funding Level: | \$8.7 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Short-term grants; and any funding mechanisms and authorities at the Agency's disposal that maximize scientific and health benefit. |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$7.4 billion to be transferred to NIH Institutes and Centers with funds not subject to Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer set-a-side requirements • \$800 million to be retained in the Office of the Director for purposes that can be completed within two years with a priority placed on short-term grants that focus on specific scientific challenges, new research that expands the scope of ongoing projects, and research on public and international health priorities • \$400 million may be used by the Director under existing flexible research authority • Funds are not subject to Small Business Innovation Research and Small Business Technology Transfer set-aside requirements • \$500 million for high priority NIH facility repair, construction, and improvement |
| Policy Context: | Research funding to NIH Institutes and Centers will align with Appropriators funding for these entities, but also targets research on Alzheimer’s Disease, Parkinson’s Disease, cancer and heart disease. |

Department of Health and Human Services

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | AHRQ Director/NIH Director/HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To facilitate comparative effectiveness research (CER) used to conduct or support research to evaluate and compare the clinical outcomes, effectiveness, risk and benefits of two or more medical treatments and services that address a particular medical condition. |
| Funding Level: | \$1.1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | \$300 million is allocated to AHRQ; \$400 million is allocated to NIH; and \$400 million is allocated at the discretion of the HHS Secretary. |
| Eligible Applicants: | Agencies within HHS; other government agencies; private sector entities with CER experience. |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creation of Federal Coordinating Council for Comparative Effectiveness Research <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Council consists of up to 15 senior Federal officers or employees with responsibility for health-related programs ○ At least half of the members of the Council must be physicians or have clinical expertise ○ The Council may not mandate coverage, reimbursement, or other policies for any public or private payer. • Up to \$1.5 million will be provided to the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to develop a report by June 30, 2009, that includes recommendations on national priorities for CER and considers input from stakeholders • Fund recipients must provide an opportunity for the public to comment on the research • Research must include women and minorities • HHS Secretary to report annually to Congress on CER studies |

Department of Health and Human Services

Public Health and Social Services Emergency Fund

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | AHRQ Director/NIH Director/HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To improve health information technology security at HHS |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |

| | |
|--|--|
| Department of Health and Human Services | |
| Prevention and Wellness Fund | |
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To fight preventable diseases and conditions with evidence-based strategies |
| Funding Level: | \$1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Funds will be distributed by the HHS Secretary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Not known at this time; States can receive money to implement healthcare-associated infection reduction strategies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$300 million will be transferred to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to carry out the immunization program (Section 317 of the Public Health Service Act) • \$650 million will be used to carry out evidence-based clinical and community-based prevention and wellness strategies that deliver specific, measureable health outcomes and address chronic disease rates; these funds may be transferred to other programs within HHS • \$50 million for States to implement healthcare-associated infections reduction strategies • Within 90 days Secretary to report to Congress on prevention priorities with measurement goals |
| Policy Context: | Medicare costs are highest for a relatively small segment of the population with multiple chronic diseases. Addressing prevention and wellness more broadly should result in significant savings to the Medicare program, although Medicare disease management and care coordinate demonstrations have yet to yield significant health outcomes. Senator Baucus and others are advocating “medical homes” as an approach to coordinate care and decrease costs. |

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
|--|---|
| Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONCHIT) | |
| Administrator: | Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology |
| Purpose: | To oversee the development and implementation of a nationwide health information technology (HIT) infrastructure |
| Funding Level: | \$2 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Various competitive grants (however \$2 billion is not exclusively for grants) |
| Eligible Applicants: | Varies by specific grants, see below |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ONCHIT to review and determine whether to endorse standards, implementation specifications, and certification criterion for the electronic exchange and use of health information recommended by the HIT Standards Committee, support the development, routine updating and provision of qualified EHR technology and ensure that qualified EHR technology is certified • ONCHIT will oversee the HIT Policy Committee and the HIT Standards Committee • Directs each agency to require, in their contracts or agreements with health care providers, health plans, or health insurance issuers, that as each entity acquires, or upgrades HIT systems and products, it will utilize, where available, HIT systems and products that meet these newly developed standards and implementation specifications • ONCHIT can provide financial assistance to consumer advocacy groups and nonprofits that work in the public interest on the Federal adoption of privately developed standards |
| Policy Context: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funding and policy development for nationwide interoperable EHRs and integration of HIT into clinical practice has stalled in the past over issues related to standards development and privacy • Standards development is significantly accelerated with this funding and major changes in privacy protections must be implemented by Federal agencies, hospitals, and certain health care providers • Although many types of providers were added in the course of bill negotiations, other provider groups are likely to advocate for inclusion • Providers and patient advocates are likely to press for greater public input into standards development and implementation |

| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| HIT Policy Committee | |
| Administrator: | Membership of the HIT Policy Committee |
| Purpose: | To make policy recommendations to ONCHIT relating to implementation of a nationwide health information technology infrastructure |
| Funding Level: | Funded through the ONCHIT |
| Allocation Method: | Policy Committee does not make specific allocations |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The HIT Policy Committee will play a critical role in developing the requirements for HIT infrastructure. Its duties include recommending: (1) a policy framework for development and adoption of a nationwide HIT infrastructure that permits electronic exchange and use of health information |

| | |
|--|---|
| | consistent with the Strategic Plan; (2) areas in which standards, implementation specifications, and certification criteria are needed for electronic exchange and use of health information; and (3) priorities for the development, harmonization, and recognition of such standards, specification, and certification criteria |
|--|---|

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

HIT Standards Committee

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Membership of the HIT Standards Committee |
| Purpose: | To recommend standards, implementation specifications, and certification criteria for the electronic exchange and use of health IT |
| Funding Level: | Funded through the ONCHIT |
| Allocation Method: | HIT Standards Committee does not make specific allocations |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The HIT Standards Committee will play a critical role in developing the specific requirements for HIT systems and software. Its duties include: (1) developing, harmonizing, and recognizing standards, implementation specifications, and certification criteria consistent with the HIT Policy Committee's recommendations; (2) recommending these standards, specifications, and criteria to ONCHIT; and (3) providing for pilot tests of these standards and implementation specifications by the National Institute for Standards and Technology, as well as developing a schedule for the assessment of policy recommendations developed by the HIT Policy Committee |

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Pilot Testing Through the National Institute for Standards and Technology NIST

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Director of the NIST and the HIT Standards Committee |
| Purpose: | To coordinate with the HIT Standards Committee to test standards and support the establishment of a voluntary testing program by accredited testing laboratories |
| Funding Level: | Funded through the ONCHIT |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | Non-Federal laboratories |

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Health Care Information Enterprise Integration Research Centers

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Director of NIST |
| Purpose: | To establish multidisciplinary Centers for Health Care Information Enterprise Integration to generate innovative approaches to health care information enterprise integration by conducting cutting-edge, multidisciplinary research on the system challenges to health care delivery, and develop and use of health information technologies and other complementary fields |
| Funding Level: | Funded through the ONCHIT |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Higher education institutions or consortiums |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research areas may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ (1) human information and communications technology systems, voice-recognition systems, software that improves interoperability and connectivity among health information systems |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ (2) software dependability in systems critical to health care delivery; ○ (3) measurement of the impact of information technologies on the quality and productivity of health care; ○ (4) health information enterprise management; ○ (5) health information technology security and integrity; and ○ (6) relevant health information technology to reduce medical errors |
|--|---|

| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
|---|---|
| Immediate Funding to Strengthen HIT Infrastructure | |
| Administrator: | ONCHIT, HRSA, AHRQ, CMS, CDC, HIS |
| Purpose: | To invest in the infrastructure necessary to allow for and promote the electronic exchange and use of health information for each individual in the United States |
| Funding Level: | To be determined, appropriation of such sums as is necessary |
| Allocation Method: | Specific Allocation Method to be determined. The Secretary shall invest funds through the different HHS agencies with relevant expertise |
| Eligible Applicants: | To be determined by the specific agencies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These funds shall be used to support: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ (1) HIT architecture for nationwide electronic exchange and use of health information; ○ (2) development and adoption of appropriate certified EHRs; ○ (3) training and dissemination of information on best practices to integrate HIT, including EHR, into providers' delivery of care; ○ (4) infrastructure and tools for the promotion of telemedicine; ○ (5) promotion of interoperability of clinical data repositories or registries; ○ (6) promotion of technologies and best practices to enhance the protection of health information; and ○ (7) improvement and expansion public health departments' use of HIT |

| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
|--|---|
| HIT Implementation Assistance- HIT Regional Extension Centers | |
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To provide regional technical assistance and disseminate best practices to support and accelerate efforts to adopt, implement, and effectively utilize HIT |
| Funding Level: | To be determined |
| Allocation Method: | HHS Secretary to publish a draft description of the program and the procedures for application |
| Eligible Applicants: | U.S.-based nonprofit institution or organization |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • After the second year of assistance, a regional center may receive additional support if it has received positive evaluations and the Secretary has found that continuation of Federal funding to the center is in the best interest of the provision of HIT extension services • Financial support may be provided for no more than 4 years |

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |
|--------------------------------------|

| State Planning and Implementation Grants to Promote HIT | |
|--|--|
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary acting through ONCHIT |
| Purpose: | To facilitate and expand the electronic movement and use of health information among organizations according to nationally recognized standards |
| Funding Level: | To be determined |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and qualified State-designated entities |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires match that increases over 3-year period • Funds received through these grants must be used to conduct activities to facilitate and expand the electronic movement and use of health information among organizations according to nationally recognized standards • Eligible States and State-designated entities must submit a plan describing the activities to be carried out to facilitate and expand electronic health information exchange |

| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
|--|---|
| Demonstration Program to Integrate Information Technology into Clinical Education | |
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To develop academic curricula integrating certified EHR technology in the clinical education of health professionals |
| Funding Level: | To be determined |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Schools of medicine, osteopathic medicine, dentistry, or pharmacy, graduate programs in behavioral or mental health, or any other graduate health professions school, a graduate school of nursing or physician assistant studies, a consortium of schools, or an institute with a graduate medical education program in medicine, osteopathic medicine, dentistry, pharmacy, nursing, or physician assistance studies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The entity must submit a strategic plan for integrating certified EHR technology in the clinical education of health • The entity also must provide for the collection of data regarding the demonstration project's effectiveness in improving the safety of patients, the efficiency of health care delivery, and increasing the likelihood that graduates will adopt and incorporate certified EHR technology in the delivery of health services • Entities must use grant funds in collaboration with two or more disciplines and integrate certified EHR technology into community-based clinical education • An eligible entity shall not use amounts received under a grant to purchase hardware, software, or services |

| HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
|--|---|
| Information Technology Professionals in Health Care | |
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary in consultation with Director of the National Science Foundation |
| Purpose: | To provide assistance to higher education institutions or consortia to establish or expand medical health information education programs to ensure rapid and effective utilization and development of HIT |
| Funding Level: | To be determined |
| Allocation Method: | Grants |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Eligible Applicants: | Higher education institutions and consortia |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preference to existing educational and training programs and programs designed to be completed in less than six months |

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Electronic Health Records Incentives for Eligible Professionals

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To encourage adoption and meaningful continued use of EHRs |
| Funding Level: | While new funds are not appropriated, CBO estimates the total cost of all EHR incentives (under Medicare and Medicaid) at \$17 billion for hospitals and physicians |
| Allocation Method: | Additional payments made through physician fee schedule |
| Eligible Applicants: | Eligible professionals who meet the definition of “meaningful use” of EHRs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eligible professionals who qualify may receive incentive payments from 2011 through 2015 • No incentive payments will be made after 2015 • Beginning in 2015, and subject to a significant hardship exception, health professional who have not adopted or are not “meaningfully users” of EHRs will have their fee schedule payments reduced |

HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Electronic Health Records Incentives for Eligible Acute Care Hospitals

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | HHS Secretary |
| Purpose: | To encourage adoption and meaningful continued use of EHRs |
| Funding Level: | While new funds are not appropriated, CBO estimates the total cost of all EHR incentives (under Medicare and Medicaid) at \$17 billion for hospitals and physicians |
| Allocation Method: | Additional payments made through the hospital prospective payment schedule |
| Eligible Applicants: | Eligible Hospitals that meet the standard for “meaningful use” |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eligible hospitals to receive payments based on discharge numbers • Definition of “meaningful EHR user” in the hospital context: In order to qualify for the financial assistance, a hospital must meet all of the following requirements to the satisfaction of the Secretary <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Must demonstrate that the hospital used EHR technology in a meaningful manner during the relevant period; ○ Must demonstrate that the EHR technology is connected in a manner that provides for the electronic exchange of health information to improve the quality of health care, such as promoting care coordination; and ○ Must use the certified EHR technology to submit information on certain measures as required by the Secretary • Market Basket Adjustment: Hospitals that are not meaningful EHR users by Fiscal Year 2016 and after will be subject to an additional reduction in their market basket update • The Secretary may exempt a hospital from the market basket update reduction on a case-by-case basis due to hardship. The hardship exemption is subject to annual renewal and may be granted for no more than five years. |

HOMELAND SECURITY

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| U.S. Customs and Border Protection | |
| Non-Intrusive Inspection and Tactical Communications | |
| Administrator: | U.S. Customs and Border Protection |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the procurement and deployment of non-intrusive inspection systems and tactical communications equipment and radios |
| Funding Level: | \$160 million, of which \$100 million for non-intrusive inspection systems and \$60 million for tactical communications equipment and radios |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| U.S. Customs and Border Protection | |
| Border Security Fencing, Infrastructure and Technology | |
| Administrator: | U.S. Customs and Border Protection |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for expedited development and deployment of border security technology on the Southwest border |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| U.S. Customs and Border Protection | |
| CBP Land Points of Entry | |
| Administrator: | U.S. Customs and Border Protection |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for planning, management, design, alteration and construction of U.S. Customs and Border Protection owned land border ports of entry |
| Funding Level: | \$420 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement | |
| Modernization of Tactical | |
| Administrator: | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for "Automation Modernization" for the procurement and |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | deployment of tactical communications equipment and radios |
| Funding Level: | \$20 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| Transportation Security Administration | |
| Modernization of Tactical | |
| Administrator: | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for "Automation Modernization" for the procurement and deployment of tactical communications equipment and radios |
| Funding Level: | \$20 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| Transportation Security Administration | |
| Explosive Detections Equipment | |
| Administrator: | Transportation Security Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the procurement and installation of checked baggage explosives detection systems and check-point explosives detection equipment |
| Funding Level: | \$1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary, based on review of pending projects and relative risk factors |
| Eligible Applicants: | Airports |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Transportation Security Administration is required to prioritize the award of the funds to accelerate the installations at locations with completed design plans The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| | |
|--|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| Coast Guard | |
| Shore Facilities and Aids to Navigation | |
| Administrator: | U.S. Coast Guard |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for shore facilities and aids to navigation facilities; for priority procurements due to materials and labor cost increases; and for costs to repair, renovate, assess or improve vessels |
| Funding Level: | \$98 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the |

| | |
|--|---|
| | expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |
|--|---|

| |
|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY |
| Coast Guard |

Alteration/Removal of Bridges

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | U.S. Coast Guard |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for alteration or removal of obstructive bridges, as authorized by Section 6 of the Truman-Hobbs Act |
| Funding Level: | \$142 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Coast Guard must award the funds to those bridges that are ready to proceed to construction • The Secretary of Homeland Security is required to submit a plan for the expenditure of the funds to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 45 days of enactment of the Act |

| |
|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency |

State and Local Programs – Port Security

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | FEMA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for the protection of critical port infrastructure from terrorism, including risk management capabilities, domain awareness, training and exercises, and capabilities to prevent, detect, respond to, and recover from attacks involving improvised explosive devices and other non-conventional weapons |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary / competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Specified port area systems, by invitation -- owners and operators of port facilities, port authorities, and consortia of stakeholders |

| |
|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency |

State and Local Programs – Firefighter Assistance Grants

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | FEMA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for modifying, upgrading or constructing non- Federal fire stations |
| Funding Level: | \$210 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Up to 5 percent must be for program administration • Maximum grant is \$15 million |

| |
|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency |

Disaster Assistance Direct Loan Program Account

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Administrator: | FEMA |
| Purpose: | Notwithstanding Section 417(b) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | Emergency Assistance Act, sets forth the following limitations on loans given under the Direct Assistance Direct Loan |
| Program Account: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The amount of any loan issued for major disasters occurring in calendar year 2008 may exceed \$5 million and may be equal to not more than 50 percent of the annual operating budget of the local government in any case in which that local government has suffered a loss of 25 percent or more in tax revenues The cost of modifying such loans must be as defined in Section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (U.S.C. 661a) |
| Funding Level: | (None specified) |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |

| | |
|--|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY | |
| Federal Emergency Management Agency | |
| Emergency Food and Shelter | |
| Administrator: | FEMA |
| Purpose: | To carry out the emergency food and shelter program pursuant to Title III of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The total administrative costs cannot exceed 3.5 percent of the total amount made available |

HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT

| DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | |
|---|---|
| Public Housing Capital Fund | |
| Administrator: | Public and Indian Housing / Office of Capital Improvements |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for development, financing, and modernization of public housing |
| Funding Level: | \$4 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula -- \$3 billion (Fiscal Year 2008 inputs), Competitive -- \$1 billion |
| Eligible Applicants: | Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HUD may determine not to allocate funds to troubled PHAs or those electing not to accept funding • No supplantation of expenditures from other sources • Timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Formula funds must be allocated within 30 days of enactment ○ Competitive funds must be allocated by September 30, 2009 ○ PHAs must obligate 100 percent of funds within 1 year of availability; expend 60 percent within 2 years, and 100 percent within 3 years – failure to comply with 1-year (or other) obligation requirement will result in recapturing all remaining un-obligated funds for reallocation • Project Selection Criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ For PHA formula grant receipts – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Capital projects that can award bid-based contracts within 120 days from receipt of funds ▪ Capital projects that are already underway or included in their 5-year capital fund plans ▪ Rehabilitation of vacant rental units ○ For HUD competitive funding decisions, priorities include leverage of private sector funding for renovations and energy conservation retrofits • Eligible Use Modifications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ No operating costs or rental assistance ○ Replacement housing restrictions waived • HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>) • HUD allowed up to 0.5 percent set-aside for administrative, technical assistance, and evaluation expenses through September 30, 2012 |

| DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | |
|---|--|
| Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) | |
| Administrator: | HUD Office of Community Planning and Development |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for standard allowable CDBG activities meeting low-income benefit requirements, including but not limited to – property acquisition; code enforcement; clearance/rehab/reconstruction/construction of buildings; public and social services (15 percent limit); planning and capacity building; development activities through nonprofits; economic development assistance; relocation; technical assistance; housing services and homeownership assistance |
| Funding Level: | \$1 billion |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | Entitlement Communities having received funding in Fiscal Year 2008 |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recipients must prioritize use for projects that can award bid-based contracts within 120 days from funding availability • HUD must establish requirements to expedite use of funds • HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>) |

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | HUD Office of Community Planning and Development |
| Purpose: | To provide funding to for purchase, rehabilitation, and re-occupancy of foreclosed homes, including financing mechanisms, and allowing land banking and demolition. Note: Changed from locals and States only in first NSP round |
| Funding Level: | \$2 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive Note: Changed from formula allocation in first NSP round. |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local governments, States, and non-profits (or consortia of nonprofits, which may partner with for-profit entities) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HUD may set-aside up to 10 percent of funds for “capacity development” and support of local communities receiving grants • Timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Grant solicitations released within 75 days of enactment ○ Applications due within 75 days after grant solicitation ○ HUD must obligate all funding within 1 year of enactment ○ Recipients must expend at least 50 percent of funds within 2 years; 100 percent within 3 years • Project Selection Criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Foreclosure rates, targeting areas with the greatest number and percentage of foreclosures ○ Ability to fully expend within the allowed period ○ Grantee capacity demonstrated to execute projects ○ Leveraging potential and concentration of investment to achieve neighborhood stabilization ○ HUD may establish a minimum grant size ○ Eligible Use Modifications ○ Allows for establishment and operation of land banks for foreclosed homes and residential properties ○ Redevelopment of demolished or vacant properties may only be for housing. ○ No demolition of public housing ○ No more than 10 percent of aggregate grant may be used for demolition activities or establishing land banks or demolition, unless HUD determines it is an appropriate response to local market conditions ○ HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>) ○ HUD allowed up to 1 percent set-aside for administrative, technical |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>assistance, and evaluation expenses through September 30, 2012</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ NSP Authorization Amendments (applies to new and prior funding) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adds lease eligibility protections for Section 8 participants ▪ Adds tenancy protections for renters in acquired foreclosed property ▪ Repeals profit reinvestment / purchaser equity benefit restrictions in Section 2301(d)(4) of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-289) |
|--|---|

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

HOME Investment Partnerships – Modified for Low-Income Housing Tax Credit

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | State housing credit agencies |
| Purpose: | To provide capital investments in low-income housing tax credit projects |
| Funding Level: | \$2.25 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive (after initial formula allocation to States, based on Fiscal Year 2008 HOME apportionment) |
| Eligible Applicants: | Affordable housing developers of qualified projects that, within a certain timeframe, received or simultaneously receive a Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Housing credit agencies must commit at least 75 percent of funds within 1 year of enactment, and demonstrate that project owners have expended 75 percent of funds within 2 years of enactment and 100 percent within 3 years – failure by an owner to do so will result in redistribution of funds within the State • Available until September 30, 2011 • Project Selection Criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ For housing credit agencies decisions, prioritize projects that will be completed within 3 years ○ Eligible projects must be awarded LIHTC in Fiscal Years 2007, 2008, or 2009 • Housing credit agencies shall assure asset management functions comply with LIHTC requirements • Eligible basis of a qualified LIHTC building receiving assistance cannot be reduced by the amount of any stimulus grant • Environmental compliance remains subject to HOME requirements • HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>) |

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | HUD Office of Community Planning and Development |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for foreclosure prevention and re-housing; case management and social services; renovation, major rehabilitation, or conversion of buildings for use as emergency shelters or transitional housing; shelter operating costs; and related activities |
| Funding Level: | \$1.5 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States, large cities, urban counties, and territories as regularly designated ESG |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| | recipients pursuant to the McKinney-Vento Act |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Grantees must expend at least 60 percent of funds within 2 years of funds availability, and 100 percent within 3 years – HUD may recapture unexpended funds if 2-year expenditure requirement is not met and reallocate to others in compliance ○ HUD must publish a notice establishing requirements within 30 days of enactment • HUD may set a minimum formula grant result for localities to receive funds that ensures critical mass of resources to have impact • Eligible Use Modifications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Specifies additional uses, including short- and medium-term rental assistance and prevention activities, housing relocation, and stabilization services including: housing search, mediation or outreach to property owners, credit repair, security or utility deposits, utility payments, rental assistance for a final month at a location, moving cost assistance, and case management, or other activities for homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing of persons who have become homeless • Grantee Administration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Administrative cost allowance of up to 5 percent ○ Data collection in HUD’s Homeless Management Information System • HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>) • HUD allowed up to 0.5 percent set-aside for administrative, technical assistance, and evaluation expenses through September 30, 2012 |

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Energy Retrofits and Greening Projects for Federally-Assisted Housing

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | HUD Office of Affordable Housing Preservation |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for energy improvements to housing assisted under the Section 8, Section 202 (Elderly), and Section 811 (Disabled) programs. Note: Section 8 regular project-based assistance program supplemented with \$2 billion in same account, to provide full-year payments to participating landlords |
| Funding Level: | \$250 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants and loans |
| Eligible Applicants: | Private and non-profit organizations participating in Section 8, Section 202, and Section 811 programs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recipients shall expend funds within 2 years of receipt • HUD Authority to Establish Special Terms <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Financial agreements for HUD to share in savings from reduced utility costs. ○ Incentives to recipients that encourage job creation for low-income and very low income individuals ○ Supplemental financial incentives to property owners, such as fees for project management ○ Requirements for ongoing maintenance and preservation of the property and energy technologies ○ Timely expenditures |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recipient Requirements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Financial assessment and physical inspection of property ○ Satisfactory management review rating, and substantial compliance with performance / legal requirements ○ Commitment to an additional affordability period of at least 15 years • HUD must establish grant and loan underwriting and oversight, and may utilize up to 5 percent of total funding for that purpose • HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>) • HUD allowed up to 1 percent set-aside for administrative, technical assistance, and evaluation expenses through September 30, 2012 |
|--|--|

| DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | |
|--|--|
| Lead Hazard Reduction Program – Healthy Homes Initiative, Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant, Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration, Operation Lead Elimination Action Program, etc. | |
| Administrator: | HUD Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control |
| Purpose: | To provide funding to abate lead-based hazards in eligible privately owned rental or owner-occupied housing, with various targeting factors by program |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants and cooperative agreements |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local governments, States, housing authorities, Tribes, institutions of higher education, for-profit firms (not allowed to profit from activity), depending on program |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selection Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Awards made to all applicants that qualified for a grant under the Fiscal Year 2008 Lead Hazard Reduction Program NOFA, but did not receive one due to insufficient overall program funding ○ Remaining amounts to be awarded under regular Fiscal Year 2009 grant solicitation, including a detailed plan and strategy demonstrating capacity to carry out purposes ○ Recipients must expend at least 50 percent of funds within 2 years of funding award, and 100 percent within 3 years – unobligated funds subject to reallocation or recapture ○ Expedited environmental process allowed through delegation of NEPA review and approval to State or local governments, for grants under Healthy Homes Initiative, Operation Lead Elimination Action Plan, or Lead Technical Studies ○ HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>). • HUD allowed up to 0.5 percent set-aside for administrative, technical assistance, and evaluation expenses through September 30, 2012 |

| DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT | |
|---|--|
| Lead Hazard Reduction Program – Healthy Homes Initiative, Lead-Based Paint | |

| Hazard Control Grant, Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration, Operation Lead Elimination Action Program, etc. | |
|---|--|
| Administrator: | HUD Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control |
| Purpose: | To provide funding to abate lead-based hazards in eligible privately owned rental or owner-occupied housing, with various targeting factors by program |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants and cooperative agreements |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local governments, States, housing authorities, Tribes, institutions of higher education, for-profit firms (not allowed to profit from activity), depending on program |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selection Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Awards made to all applicants that qualified for a grant under the Fiscal Year 2008 Lead Hazard Reduction Program NOFA, but did not receive one due to insufficient overall program funding ○ Remaining amounts to be awarded under regular Fiscal Year 2009 grant solicitation, including a detailed plan and strategy demonstrating capacity to carry out purposes ○ Recipients must expend at least 50 percent of funds within 2 years of funding award, and 100 percent within 3 years – unobligated funds subject to reallocation or recapture ○ Expedited environmental process allowed through delegation of NEPA review and approval to State or local governments, for grants under Healthy Homes Initiative, Operation Lead Elimination Action Plan, or Lead Technical Studies ○ HUD may waive or specify alternative requirements to expedite or facilitate use of funds (<i>except fair housing, labor standards, environmental standards, Uniform Relocation Act</i>). • HUD allowed up to 0.5 percent set-aside for administrative, technical assistance, and evaluation expenses through September 30, 2012 |

| General Legislative Provisions Impacting Key Housing Programs | |
|--|--|
| Federal Housing Administration (FHA) | - raises loan limits for calendar year 2009 to the level set in calendar year 2008, and includes discretionary authority for sub-areas, |
| Government Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) | - raises the conforming loan limit for calendar year 2009 to the level set in calendar year 2008, including discretionary authority for sub-areas. |
| Home Equity Conversion Mortgage | - raises the loan limit for 2009. |

JUSTICE

| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
|---|--|
| Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne) | |
| Administrator: | Bureau of Justice Assistance |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for broad-based public safety prevention, intervention, and suppression activities; law enforcement personnel; equipment and facilities (including crime laboratories); community policing; prosecution and court programs; corrections and community corrections programs; drug treatment and enforcement; planning and evaluation; technology improvements; crime victim and witness programs, etc. |
| Funding Level: | \$2 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local law enforcement agencies, and States |

| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Byrne Competitive Grants | |
| Administrator: | Bureau of Justice Assistance |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for broad-based public safety prevention, intervention, and suppression activities; law enforcement personnel; community-policing; prosecution and court programs; corrections and community corrections programs; drug treatment and enforcement; planning and evaluation; technology improvements; crime victim and witness programs, etc. |
| Funding Level: | \$225 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local, State, and Tribal governments, and non-profit organizations |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No use for compensation to victims of crime |

| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
|---|---|
| Community Oriented Policing Services (Hiring Grants) | |
| Administrator: | Office of Community Oriented Policing Services |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for hiring and rehiring of additional career law enforcement officers |
| Funding Level: | \$1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local, State, and federally-recognized Tribal governments, and other multi-jurisdictional or regional consortia |
| Special Criteria: | Waivers – applicable to stimulus funding, and Fiscal Year 2009 and Fiscal Year 2010 Appropriations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Eliminates \$75,000 cap on salary and benefits per officer ○ Eliminates 25% local match requirement ○ Funds available until September 30, 2010 |

| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
|------------------------------------|--|
| STOP Violence Against Women | |
| Administrator: | Office of Violence Against Women |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for law enforcement, prosecution, and victim services enhancements, such as training public safety and court related personnel, expanding |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| | specialized units, enhancing technology, etc. |
| Funding Level: | \$175 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States, with required local and nonprofit sub-allocation percentages for various law enforcement and victim services |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
| Transitional Housing Assistance Grants for Victims of Violence Against Women | |
| Administrator: | Office of Violence Against Women |
| Purpose: | To provide temporary housing assistance for victims, integrated with supportive services such as case management and counseling, and practical transitional aids (child care, employment, transportation) |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local, State, and Tribal governments, and certain qualified nonprofit service providers |

| | |
|--|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
| Victims Assistance and Compensation | |
| Administrator: | Office for Victims of Crime |
| Purpose: | To provide services and compensation (e.g. medical, wages, funeral, cooperation) to victims of criminal violence |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States with an established crime victim compensation program |

| | |
|---|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
| Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Task Force Program | |
| Administrator: | Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention |
| Purpose: | To enhance responses to offenders who use computer technology to sexually exploit children, including forensic and investigative components, training and technical assistance, victim services, and community education |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Either formula (likely) or competitive – cooperative agreements. |
| Eligible Applicants: | If by formula (likely), States; if competitive, States and local law enforcement or prosecutorial agencies in certain States to be pre-identified by DOJ for solicitation |

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE | |
| Rural Law Enforcement | |
| Administrator: | Bureau of Justice Assistance |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for drug enforcement, drug prevention and treatment, and other law enforcement activities in rural areas |
| Funding Level: | \$125 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Local, State, and Tribal governments, and national, regional and local non-profits |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Special Eligible Uses • Police officer hiring • Community drug prevention and treatment |

LABOR

| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
|--|--|
| Employment and Training Administration – Training and Employment Services | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for activities under the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (“WIA”) |
| Funding Level: | \$3.95 billion |
| Allocation Method: | (See summaries of specific programs below) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (See summaries of specific programs below) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds made available will remain available through June 30, 2010 A local board may award a contract to an institution of higher education or other eligible training provider if the local board determines that it would facilitate the training of multiple individuals in high-demand occupations, if such contract does not limit customer choice |

| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
|---|--|
| Adult Employment and Training – Workforce Investment Act | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for adult employment and training activities, including supportive services and needs-related payments described in the one-stop delivery system provisions (specifically Section 134(c)(2) and (3)) of WIA |
| Funding Level: | \$500 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States, with 85% sub-allocation to local Workforce Investment Boards |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Priority use of the funds is for services to individuals on public assistance and other low-income individuals (WIA Section 134(d)(4)(E) of WIA) |

| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
|--|---|
| Dislocated Worker Grants – Workforce Investment Act | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for employment and training programs for eligible workers who are unemployed through no fault of their own or have received an official layoff notice |
| Funding Level: | \$1.25 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States, with 85% sub-allocation to local Workforce Investment Boards |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds remain available through June 30, 2010 Workforce Investment Boards may award contracts to institution of higher education or other training provider if it would facilitate the training of multiple individuals in high-demand occupations and does not limit customer choice |

| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
|--|---|
| Youth Grants - Workforce Investment Act | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for youth training and employment activities, including summer employment, occupational skills, counseling, mentoring, supportive services, etc. |
| Funding Level: | \$1.2 billion |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States, with 85% sub-allocation to local Workforce Investment Boards |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age eligibility of youth participants increased from 21 to 24, for this funding only • Allocation of minimum allotments and minimum or maximum percentages available for youth activities (WIA Section 127(b)(1)(C)(iv)) must be made as if the total amount available for youth activities in the Fiscal Year does not exceed \$1 billion. • Measure of performance used to assess summer employment program is the work readiness performance indicator -- attainment of basic skills, work readiness, and/or occupational skills (WIA Section 136(b)(2)(A)(ii)(I)) • No standard set-aside for Youth Opportunities Grants • Funds remain available through June 30, 2010 • Workforce Investment Boards may award contracts to institution of higher education or other training provider if it would facilitate the training of multiple individuals in high-demand occupations and does not limit customer choice |

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

National Emergency Grants – Workforce Investment Act

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To expand service capacity at the State and local levels in response to significant events that cannot reasonably be expected within the formula-funded program |
| Funding Level: | \$200 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary / Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Designated State WIA organizations; local workforce investment boards |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through June 30, 2010 • Workforce Investment Boards may award contracts to institution of higher education or other training provider if it would facilitate the training of multiple individuals in high-demand occupations and does not limit customer choice |

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

High-Growth Industry Training and Placement – Workforce Investment Act

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for a program for worker training and placement in high growth and emerging industry sectors |
| Funding Level: | \$750 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Likely to be Workforce Investment Boards, and States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green Jobs set-aside of \$500 million for careers in the energy efficiency and renewable energy (WIA Section 171(c)(1)(B)) • Priority Employment Training Categories <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ health care ○ broadband deployment ○ advanced manufacturing |

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| YouthBuild | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for projects to assist high-risk youth (ages 16-24) to complete their high school education and learn housing construction job skills through work on low-income and affordable housing building or rehab |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Nonprofit organizations |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allows participation by an individual who has dropped out of high school and reenrolled in an alternative school, if that re-enrollment is part of a sequential service strategy, for program years 2008 and 2009 • Funds remain available through June 30, 2010 |

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
| Community Service Employment for Older Americans | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for community service and work-based subsidized training programs for low-income persons over age 55 (Older Americans Act, Title V) |
| Funding Level: | \$120 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | States and 18 designated national nonprofits, often with sub-grants to Area Agencies on Aging or other local implementers |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocated within 30 days of enactment to current grantees, in proportion to funding in program year 2008 • Unexpended funds may be recaptured and re-obligated • Funds remain available through June 30, 2010 |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
| Job Corps | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for construction, rehabilitation and acquisition of Job Corps Centers, with limited flexibility to use for certain training and operational needs |
| Funding Level: | \$250 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary, for Office of Job Corps activities |
| Eligible Applicants: | Office of Job Corps, for internal priorities |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available for obligation through June 30, 2010 • Waives certain procedures relating to appropriation accounts available for definite periods (31 U.S.C. 1552(a)) if funds are used for a multiyear lease agreement that will result in construction of activities that can commence within 120 days of enactment. • Waives limits on advance, progress, and other payments (31 U.S.C. 3324(a)) in order to expedite projects • Allows the Secretary to transfer up to 15% of the funds to meet Job Corps Center operational needs, including training for careers in the energy efficiency, renewable energy, and environmental protection industries • Report to Congress no later than September 30, 2009, with operating plan for allocation of funds and reports on the actual obligations, expenditures and un-obligated balances, and providing quarterly reports thereafter until funds fully |

| | |
|--|----------|
| | expended |
|--|----------|

| | |
|---|---|
| DEPARTMENT OF LABOR | |
| State Unemployment Insurance and Employment Operations (Reemployment Services) | |
| Administrator: | Employment and Training Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for operations of State unemployment insurance and employment operations, including reemployment services |
| Funding Level: | \$400 million |
| Allocation Method: | Formula, workload-based |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reemployment services set-aside of \$250 million for unemployment insurance claimants, including implementation of the integrated Employment Service and Unemployment Insurance information technology required to identify and serve the needs of the claimants • Secretary required to establish planning and report procedures for oversight of funds used for reemployment services • Funds remain available to the States through September 30, 2010 |

SCIENCES (NASA, NSF, NIST)

| NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION | |
|---|---|
| Science | |
| Administrator: | NASA |
| Purpose: | To accelerate development of the tier 1 set of Earth science climate research missions recommended by the National Academies Decadal Survey and to increase the agency's supercomputing abilities |
| Funding Level: | \$400 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available until September 30, 2010 |

| NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION | |
|---|--|
| Aeronautics | |
| Administrator: | NASA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for system-level research, development and demonstration activities related to aviation safety, environmental impact mitigation and the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million |
| Allocation Method: | |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available until September 30, 2010 |

| NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION | |
|---|---|
| Exploration | |
| Administrator: | NASA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for exploration |
| Funding Level: | \$400 million |
| Allocation Method: | |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available until September 30, 2010 |

| NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION | |
|---|--|
| Cross Agency Support | |
| Administrator: | NASA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for cross agency support |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available until September 30, 2010 • Highest priority to restore NASA-owned facilities damaged from hurricanes and other natural disasters occurring during calendar year 2008 |

| NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION | |
|--|--|
| Research and Related Activities | |
| Administrator: | NSF Director |
| Purpose: | To fund research and research facility modernization |
| Funding Level: | \$2.5 billion of which \$300 million is available solely for the Major Research Instrumentation program and \$200 million is allocated for academic research facilities modernization |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSF must submit its spending plan to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 60 days of enactment • Directs that NSF support research divisions and advancements in supercomputing technology |

| NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Education and Human Resources | |
| Administrator: | (None specified) |
| Purpose: | To provide additional funding for education and human resources |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million of which \$60 million is allocated to the Robert Noyce Scholarship Program, \$25 million to Math and Science Partnerships, and \$15 million to Professional Science Master's Programs |
| Allocation Method: | Grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Robert Noyce Scholarship Program – undergraduate science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) majors and post-baccalaureate students holding STEM degrees who commit to teaching in high-need K-12 school districts • Math and Science Partnerships – Higher education institute or consortia and non-profit institute or consortia • Professional Science Master's Programs – None specified |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSF must submit its spending plan to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 60 days of enactment • Funding to remain available until September 30, 2010 |

| NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION | |
|---|---|
| Major Research Equipment and Facilities Construction | |
| Administrator: | (None specified) |
| Purpose: | To provide additional funding for research equipment and facility construction |
| Funding Level: | \$400 million |
| Allocation Method: | (None specified) |
| Eligible Applicants: | (None specified) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSF must submit its spending plan to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 60 days of enactment • Funding to remain available until September 30, 2010 |

| NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY (NIST) | |
|--|--|
| Scientific and Technical Research Services | |
| Administrator: | National Institute of Standards and Technology |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Purpose: | To provide funding for NIST's in-house research and development effort, competitive grants, additional research fellowships and advanced research and measurement equipment and supplies |
| Funding Level: | \$220 million, plus \$20 million transfer from the Health Information Technology (HIT) initiative at the Department of Health and Human Services for HIT activities |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | To be determined, but likely to be U.S. businesses and industries that assist NIST in cooperative research |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Of the HIT funds, NIST is directed to create and test standards related to health security and interoperability in conjunction with partners at the Department of Health and Human Services |

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY (NIST)

Construction of Research Facilities

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| Purpose: | To address National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) backlog of maintenance and renovation for construction of new facilities and laboratories |
| Funding Level: | \$360 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive grants for \$180 million for research science buildings |
| Eligible Applicants: | Institutions of higher education and nonprofit organizations are eligible to apply for grants under NIST's Technology Construction Grant Program |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The \$180 million for competitive construction grant programs for research science buildings shall include Fiscal Year 2008 and 2009 competitions Competitive grants are awarded based upon published evaluation criteria |

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION (NOAA)

Operations, Research and Facilities

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for NOAA operations, research and facilities to address backlog of research, restoration, navigation, conservation and management activities |
| Funding Level: | \$230 million |
| Allocation Method: | Likely to be dedicated to the Operations, Research and Facilities (ORF) discretionary account or ORF offices--National Weather Service, NOAA research, NOAA Satellites, NOAA Fisheries and NOAA Ocean Services |
| Eligible Applicants: | N/A |

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION (NOAA)

Procurement, Acquisition and Construction

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for construction and repair of NOAA facilities, ships and equipment to improve weather forecasting and to support satellite development |
| Funding Level: | \$600 million |
| Allocation Method: | To be determined |
| Eligible Applicants: | : N/A |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to \$170 million shall address critical gaps in climate modeling and establish climate data records for continuing research into the cause, effects and ways to mitigate climate change |

TRANSPORTATION

| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
|---|---|
| Office of the Secretary | |
| Supplemental Discretionary Grants for a National Surface Transportation System | |
| Administrator: | Secretary of Transportation |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for projects of national or regional significance |
| Funding Level: | \$1.5 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary competitive grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States, local governments, transit agencies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2011 • Federal share up to 100 percent • Eligible projects include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Highway or bridge projects under Title 23 USC ○ Public Transportation Projects under Title 49 USC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Includes New Starts or Small Starts projects that can be expedited towards entry into revenue service ○ Passenger and freight rail projects ○ Port infrastructure investments, including projects that connect ports to other modes of transportation and improve efficiency of freight movement ○ Up to \$200 million can be spent to pay the subsidy and administrative costs of projects eligible for Federal credit assistance (TIFIA) • Through TIFIA, DOT provides Federal credit assistance to eligible highway, transit, rail, and intermodal freight projects • TIFIA credit assistance is intended to facilitate the financing of projects that would otherwise have been significantly delayed because of funding limitations or difficulties accessing the capital markets • Secretary shall ensure equitable geographic distribution and an appropriate balance in addressing the needs of urban and rural communities • Grant minimum of \$20 million and maximum of \$300 million (Secretary can waive the minimum for significant projects in smaller cities, regions or States) • No more than 20 percent of the funds can be awarded to a single State • Secretary shall give priority to projects that require Federal funds to complete financing and to projects that are expected to be completed in 3 years • Secretary shall publish criteria on which to base the competitive grants within 90 days of enactment, require submittal of applications within 180 days after the publication of criteria and announce selections within 1 year of enactment |

| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
|--|--|
| Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) | |
| Facilities and Equipment | |
| Administrator: | FAA |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental funding for facilities and equipment |
| Funding Level: | \$200 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive awards and grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Airports and private entities |

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Priority to projects or activities that will be completed within 2 years of enactment • Federal share 100 percent • FAA Administrator shall establish grant award procedures within 60 days • \$50 million to upgrade FAA power systems • \$50 million to modernize en route air traffic control centers • \$80 million to replace air traffic control towers and TRACONs • \$20 million to install airport lighting, navigation and landing equipment • Includes a “Buy-American” provision |
|--------------------------|--|

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Grants-in-Aid for Airports

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Administrator: | FAA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for eligible airport improvements and procurement, installation and commissioning of runway incursion devices and systems |
| Funding Level: | \$1.1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary Grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Airports |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Priority to projects that can be completed within 2 years of enactment and serve to supplement, not supplant planned expenditures from airport-generated revenues or from other State and local sources • Federal share shall be 100 percent • 50 percent of the grants must be awarded within 120 days and 100 percent within one year of enactment |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
Highway Infrastructure Investment States and MPOs**

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | State DOTs, MPOs, U.S. DOT/FHWA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for restoration, repair, construction, other activities eligible under the Surface Transportation Program (STP), passenger and freight rail and port infrastructure projects eligible for innovative financing |
| Funding Level: | \$27.5 billion |
| Allocation Method: | 50 percent apportioned to States under STP formula; 50 percent apportioned using Fiscal Year 2008 obligation ratio |
| Eligible Applicants: | State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Up to \$840 million in funding set aside: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ \$105 million for Puerto Rico highways ○ \$45 million for territorial highways ○ \$60 million for construction of ferry boats and ferry terminal facilities* ○ \$550 million for Indian reservations and Federal lands including \$310 million for the Indian Reservation Roads program* ○ \$20 million for On the Job Training programs ○ \$20 million for Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Bonding Assistance. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Up to \$40 million for Administrative oversight • Federal share up to 100 percent • Recipients shall give priority to projects projected for completion within 3 years and in economically distressed areas • States must obligate 50 percent of funds within 120 days or they will be reappropriated • States must obligate all funds within 1 year or they will be reappropriated. 30 % of the funds are suballocated to urbanized areas over 200,000 and areas under 5,000 using the STP formula. • States must set aside 3 percent for transportation enhancement activities • Funds are not subject to obligation limitations • Funds cannot be used for advance construction • Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements apply for apportioned funds <p>FHWA provides additional guidance at: www.fhwa.dot.gov/economicrecovery/expedite.htm</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
| Highway Infrastructure Investment: Ferry Boats and Ferry Terminal Facilities | |
| Administrator: | DOT/FHWA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for capital expenditures for ferry boats and terminal facilities |
| Funding Level: | \$60 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive discretionary grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority given to projects that can be completed within 2 years of enactment. • Federal up to 100 percent |

| | |
|--|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
| Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) | |
| Capital Assistance for High Speed Rail Corridors and Intercity Passenger Rail Service | |
| Administrator: | DOT/FRA |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for intercity and high speed passenger rail capital projects |
| Funding Level: | \$8 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | States |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2012 • Federal share can be up to 100 percent • Funds intercity passenger service capital projects and congestion grants • Gives priority to projects that support high speed passenger rail service • Requires the Secretary to submit a strategic plan to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees within 60 days of enactment describing how the funds will be used to improve and deploy high speed passenger rail systems • Within 120 days of enactment the Secretary shall issue interim guidance to applicants (until a final regulation is issued) with separate instructions for the high speed rail corridor program, capital assistance for intercity passenger rail service grants and congestion grants • Waives the requirement that projects be in a State rail plan • Congestion grants are for capital costs of facilities, infrastructure and |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>equipment for projects: identified by Amtrak to reduce congestion or facilitate ridership growth along heavily traveled corridors; identified by the Surface Transportation Board as necessary to improve on time performance and reliability of intercity passenger transportation; and sufficiently advanced to achieve these goals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intercity Passenger rail Capital Assistance to States grants are for capital costs of facilities, infrastructure and equipment • Requires compliance with Davis-Bacon wage laws • Buy American Provisions apply for steel, iron, and manufactured goods used in the project |
|--|--|

| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Amtrak | |
| Administrator: | DOT/FRA |
| Purpose: | To provide capital grants to Amtrak |
| Funding Level: | \$1.3 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Grants to Amtrak |
| Eligible Applicants: | Amtrak |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • \$450 million shall be used for capital security grants • Priority given to repair, rehabilitation, or upgrade of railroad assets or infrastructure, and for capital projects that expand passenger rail capacity including the rehabilitation of rolling stock • None of the funding shall be used to subsidize operating losses of Amtrak • Funds shall be awarded within 30 days of enactment • Secretary shall take measures to ensure that projects shall be completed within 2 years of enactment and shall serve to supplement, not supplant, planned expenditures for such activities from other Federal, State, local and corporate sources and shall certify compliance to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees • Not more than 60 percent of the non-security funds may be used along the Northeast Corridor |

| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
|---|---|
| Federal Transit Administration (FTA) | |
| Capital Assistance for Transit | |
| Administrator: | Designated recipients, DOT/FTA |
| Purpose: | To provide capital assistance for transit |
| Funding Level: | \$6.9 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Formula apportionments |
| Eligible Applicants: | Designated recipients – generally transit agencies, State DOTs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Federal share up to 100 percent • \$100 million set-aside for discretionary grants to public transit agencies for capital improvements to assist in reducing energy consumption or greenhouse gas emissions of public transportation systems. Priority shall be given to projects based on total energy savings projected to result from the investment and projected energy savings as a percentage of the total energy usage of the |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>public transit agency</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 80 percent apportioned to urbanized areas;10 percent to growing States and high density States, 10 percent to rural and non urban areas of which 2.5 percent of which is for tribes • Funds must be apportioned by FTA within 21 days of enactment • Recipients have 180 days to obligate 50 percent of funds or they will be redistributed by whatever method DOT deems appropriate to ensure they are utilized promptly • Recipients have 1 year to obligate all funds or they will be redistributed by whatever method DOT deems appropriate to ensure they are utilized promptly. Secretary may grant an extension, after justifying it to the Appropriations Committees, if a recipient has encountered an unworkable bidding environment or other extenuating circumstances • Funds are not subject to obligation limitations • Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements apply for apportioned funds • FTA provides additional guidance at. http://www.fta.dot.gov/index_9118.html |
|--|--|

| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | |
|---|--|
| Fixed Guideway Infrastructure Investment | |
| Administrator: | Recipients |
| Purpose: | To provide funding to modernize or improve existing fixed guideway systems |
| Funding Level: | \$750 million |
| Allocation Method: | Apportioned under fixed guideway formula |
| Eligible Applicants: | Public bodies, generally transit authorities or State DOTs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Federal share up to 100 percent • \$100 million set-aside for discretionary grants to public transit agencies for capital improvements to assist in reducing energy consumption or greenhouse gas emissions of public transportation systems. Priority shall be given to projects based on total energy savings projected to result from the investment and projected energy savings as a percentage of the total energy usage of the public transit agency • 80 percent apportioned to urbanized areas;10 percent to growing States and high density States, 10 percent to rural and non urban areas of which 2.5 percent of which is for tribes • Funds must be apportioned by FTA within 21 days of enactment • Recipients have 180 days to obligate 50 percent of funds or they will be redistributed by whatever method DOT deems appropriate to ensure they are utilized promptly • Recipients have 1 year to obligate all funds or they will be redistributed by whatever method DOT deems appropriate to ensure they are utilized promptly. Secretary may grant an extension, after justifying it to the Appropriations Committees, if a recipient has encountered an unworkable bidding environment or other extenuating circumstances • Funds are not subject to obligation limitations • Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements apply for apportioned funds • FTA provides additional guidance at. http://www.fta.dot.gov/index_9118.html |

| |
|-------------------------------------|
| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION |
|-------------------------------------|

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Capital Investment Grants | |
| Administrator: | DOT/FTA |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental grants for New Starts and Small Starts |
| Funding Level: | \$750 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Public bodies, generally transit authorities or State DOTs |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Funds are for construction of new fixed guideway systems or extensions to existing systems • Priority shall be given to projects that are already in construction or able to obligate funds within 150 days of enactment • Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements apply |

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Maritime Administration
Assistance to Small Shipyards**

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Administrator: | Maritime Administration (MarAd) |
| Purpose: | To provide supplemental grants for assistance to qualified shipyards |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Grants |
| Eligible Applicants: | Qualified shipyards |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available through September 30, 2010 • Secretary shall institute measures to ensure that funds are obligated within 180 days of distribution |

TREASURY

| DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY | |
|---|--|
| Community Development Financial Institutions Program | |
| Administrator: | Community Development Financial Institutions Fund |
| Purpose: | To provide additional capital funding to Community Development Financial Institutions under the FY2009 funding round for use in economic development, business development, and commercial real estate development; affordable housing development and homeownership; and community financial services to underserved communities |
| Funding Level: | \$100 million |
| Allocation Method: | Competitive |
| Eligible Applicants: | Certified Community Development Entity or Community Development Financial Institution, per Treasury processes |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Funds remain available until September 30, 2010 • Up to \$8 million may be for financial assistance, technical assistance, training and outreach programs designed to benefit Native American, Native Hawaiian and Alaskan Native communities and provided primarily through qualified community development lender organizations with experience and expertise in community development banking and lending in Indian country, Native American organizations, tribes and tribal organizations and other suitable providers • Up to \$2 million may be used for administrative expenses • For the purpose of the Fiscal Year 2009 funding round, the statutory provisions set forth in 12 U.S.C. 4707(e) and 12 U.S.C. 4707(d) • No awardee, together with its subsidiaries and affiliates, may be awarded more than 5 percent of the aggregate funds available during Fiscal Year 2009 from the Program • Treasury must submit a plan to Congress within 60 days of enactment for expenditure of funds |

VETERANS

| DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Medical Facilities | |
| Administrator: | Veterans Health Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for non-recurring maintenance, including energy projects at Department of Veterans Affairs medical facilities |
| Funding Level: | \$1 billion |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Department of Veterans Affairs medical facilities |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010, provided that within 30 days of enactment, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs submits to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for funds provided under this heading |

| DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS | |
|---|--|
| National Cemetery Administration | |
| Administrator: | Discretionary |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for monument and memorial repairs, including energy projects |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Any State may apply |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cemeteries must be State-owned and operated solely for eligible veterans and their dependents and/or spouses Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010, provided that within 30 days of enactment, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs submits to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for funds provided under this heading |

| DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Departmental Administration | |
| Administrator: | Veterans Benefits Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for additional expenses related to hiring and training processors to handle the surge in disability claims |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Companies with employment placement and training expertise |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010 |

| DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Information Technology Systems | |
| Administrator: | Veterans Benefits Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for information technology systems |
| Funding Level: | \$50 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Eligible Applicants: | Information Technology companies |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010, provided that within 30 days of enactment, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress an expenditure plan for funds provided under this heading |

| | |
|--|--|
| DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS | |
| Grants for Construction of State Extended Care Facilities | |
| Administrator: | Veterans Health Administration |
| Purpose: | To provide funding for grants to assist States in acquiring or constructing State nursing home and domiciliary facilities and to remodel, modify or alter existing hospital, nursing home and domiciliary facilities in State homes, for furnishing care to veterans |
| Funding Level: | \$150 million |
| Allocation Method: | Discretionary |
| Eligible Applicants: | Any State may apply after assuring that the assisted facility will be owned by the State; and will be used primarily for veterans |
| Special Criteria: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds will remain available for obligation until September 30, 2010 |

FEDERAL TAX BENEFITS

A number of new federal tax benefits are available, including an immediate reduction in payroll taxes for most workers, increases in the Earned Income and Child Tax Credits, as well as benefits for retirees, parents, people saving for college, first-time homebuyers, car buyers, and more.

“Making Work Pay” Credit

Provides for 2009 and 2010 a refundable tax credit of up to \$400 for working individuals and \$800 for married taxpayers filing joint returns.

This tax credit will be calculated at a rate of 6.2 percent of earned income and will phase out for taxpayers with adjusted gross income in excess of \$75,000, or \$150,000 for married couples filing jointly.

For people who receive a paycheck and are subject to withholding, the credit will typically be handled by their employers through automated withholding changes in early spring. These changes may result in an increase in take-home pay. The amount of the credit must be reported on the employee's 2009 income tax return filed in 2010.

Taxpayers who do not have taxes withheld by an employer during the year can also claim the credit on their 2009 tax return.

Economic Recovery Payment

A one-time payment of \$250 will be made in 2009 to:

- o Retirees, disabled individuals and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) recipients receiving benefits from the Social Security Administration.
- o Disabled veterans receiving benefits from the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.
- o Railroad Retirement beneficiaries.

The IRS will not make this payment — unlike last year's economic stimulus program. If you are currently a Social Security recipient, you do not need to do anything to receive this payment. Payments will go out in early May, 2009. This federal program is administered by the Social Security Administration, and not the State of Ohio.

For more information or to see if you are eligible for benefits, see <http://www.ssa.gov/payment/>.

OPERS beneficiaries who do not pay into Social Security will receive a onetime tax credit. For more information, go to www.opers.org.

First Time Homebuyer Credit

Expands the first-time homebuyer credit to include purchases made before Dec. 1, 2009. The IRS announced Feb. 25 that for first-time homebuyers who purchase in 2009, the maximum credit is \$8,000 and can be claimed on a buyer's 2008 federal tax return. If the home purchase closes after April 15, a taxpayer can still claim the credit on a 2008 tax return by requesting an extension of time to file or filing an amended return. The credit is claimed using Form 5405.

For first-time homebuyers who bought in 2008, the maximum credit is \$7,500 and must be paid back over a period of 15 years.

Sales Tax Deduction for Vehicle Purchases

Provides a deduction for state and local sales and excise taxes paid on the purchase of new cars, light trucks, motor homes and motorcycles through 2009. The deduction is available regardless of whether a taxpayer itemizes deductions on Schedule A. Purchases before Feb. 17, 2009, are not eligible for this special deduction.

The deduction is limited to the tax on up to \$49,500 of the purchase price of an eligible motor vehicle. The deduction is phased out for joint filers with modified adjusted gross income between \$250,000 and \$260,000 and other taxpayers with modified AGI between \$125,000 and \$135,000.

Expanded Earned Income Tax Credit

Provides tax relief to families with three or more children and increases marriage penalty relief. The changes apply for 2009 and 2010.

Expanded Child Tax Credit

Increases the eligibility for the refundable child tax credit in 2009 and 2010 by lowering the earned income threshold to \$3,000 (from \$8,500 in 2008).

Education Tax Credit

Provides "American Opportunity" tax credit for 2009 and 2010 of up to \$2,500 of the cost of tuition and related expenses.

More information on tax credits for individuals and families contained in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act can be found www.irs.gov

PROGRAM BENEFITS. The ARRA contains a number of extended benefits and additional funding for programs that can benefit individuals.

Unemployment Compensation Benefits

Eligible recipients of unemployment compensation benefits can receive up to 59 weeks of unemployment benefits. This 59-week total includes 26 weeks of regular state-funded unemployment benefits, and an additional 33 weeks of federally-funded emergency unemployment benefits (known as EUC benefits). The federal stimulus package extends the deadline on EUC benefits, so they are available through December 2009. In addition, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act increases the weekly benefit by \$25. Eligible individuals will automatically receive payments retroactive to the week of February 21-28, 2009, as soon as the system is updated. The bill also suspends the first \$2,400 paid in unemployment benefits from federal income tax for tax year 2009 only. This adjustment will be made when you file your 2009 federal tax forms.

COBRA Health Insurance Assistance

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act subsidizes 65% of eligible individuals' COBRA health insurance and other state group continuation coverage for workers who lose their jobs.

To qualify for COBRA, a worker must have been involuntarily separated between September 1, 2008 and December 31, 2009. Workers, who lost their jobs between September 1, 2008 and February 17, 2009 but failed to initially elect COBRA because it was unaffordable, will have an additional 60 days to elect COBRA and receive the subsidy.

The subsidy phases out for individual whose modified adjusted gross income exceeds \$125,000, or \$250,000 for those filing joint returns. Taxpayers with modified adjusted gross income exceeding \$145,000, or \$290,000 for those filing joint returns, do not qualify for the subsidy.

Please see

<http://www.ohioinsurance.gov/documents/FederalStimulusGroupCoverageContinuationSubsidy.pdf> for more information about health insurance continuation.

Home Weatherization/Insulation Assistance:

The Recovery Act does include help for low income Ohioans to reduce their energy costs by weatherizing their homes. Residents who qualify can receive insulation, furnace and other types of assistance. To find out if you are eligible and to apply, visit <http://development.ohio.gov/cdd/ocs/hwap.htm>.

While there is help for low income Ohioans to weatherize/insulate their homes, the Recovery Act did not include dollars for individuals to buy solar panels or other "green" technologies for their homes.

MAKING HOME AFFORDABLE PROGRAM: Assists eligible homeowners to refinance or modify their mortgages to prevent foreclosure.

Go to <http://www.makinghomeaffordable.gov> to see if you qualify for refinancing or home assistance.

Even if you are current on your mortgage, you may be able to lower your interest rate.

To speak to a housing counselor, call **1-888-995-HOPE (4673)**

o HUD-approved housing counselors can help you evaluate your income and expenses and understand your options. This counseling is a free service.

To learn more about programs aimed at addressing the credit crisis available through the Department of the Treasury, go to <http://www.financialstability.gov>.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES THAT MAY BE OF ASSISTANCE

Resources for Unemployed Workers:

Unemployment Benefits Apply for unemployment benefits online at <http://unemployment.ohio.gov> or toll-free by phone at 877-OHIO-JOB.

Ohio Means Jobs

Check out Ohio Means Jobs, <http://www.ohiomeansjobs.com>, Ohio's new Web site that helps connect Ohio's employers and job seekers through tens of thousands of job openings. These job openings include every skill level, occupation, and salary. *One-Stop Centers* Visit a One-Stop Center. Locations are viewable at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/workforce/jobseekers/onestopmap.stm>.

One-Stop Centers provide job-related services from assistance with job searching, to resume writing, to training opportunities. *Resources for the Unemployed* Visit http://jfs.ohio.gov/ocomm_root/unempresources.stm to view an array of resources for the unemployed, including job search assistance, child care and health care assistance, food assistance and more.

Foreclosure Assistance: Please contact the Save the Dream project at www.savethedream.ohio.gov for information, or call the toll free hot line at 888-404-4674. The Save the Dream Web site provides information on the foreclosure process, available resources in each county (provided in a downloadable tri-fold brochure for each county), tips on avoiding "rescue" scams, information on legal assistance, and answers to frequently asked questions. Ohioans who call the hotline are asked a series of questions to identify their needs. Some calls will be forwarded to a housing counselor. Some homeowners who have had a foreclosure case filed against them will be referred for legal assistance. Save the Dream housing counselors can also determine if a caller qualifies for foreclosure rescue grants or loans.

Seniors on a Fixed Income: Low-income seniors can apply for food assistance at the Ohio Department of Aging at www.aging.ohio.gov or through Area Agencies on Aging at 1-866-243-5678. You may be eligible for Meals on Wheels delivered to your home or to your local senior center. If you need help with paying for your medical care, find out if you are eligible for Medicaid. Applications are available online at www.jfs.ohio.gov or call the Medicaid Consumer Hotline at 1-800-324-8680. If you have a hearing impairment, use the TDD number at 1-800-292-3572. If you over 55 years of age and have poor employment prospects, you may qualify for a community service job for up to 20 hours/week. Contact www.jfs.ohio.gov/workforce

Social Security and Supplemental Security Income: Recipients of Social Security and Supplemental Security Income will receive a one-time payment of \$250. If you are currently a recipient, you do not need to do anything to receive this payment. It is expected to be available in late May. This federal program is administered by the Social Security Administration, and not the State of Ohio. For more information or to see if you are eligible for benefits, see

Medicaid Benefits Cash Assistance, Child Care, and Health Care: If you would like to find out if you are eligible for cash assistance, child care assistance, or health care assistance through Medicaid, please contact your county department of job and family services. You can locate your county JFS at: <http://jfs.ohio.gov/County/cntydir.stm>

Food Assistance Program: If you receive food assistance (formerly called food stamps), the stimulus bill provides a 13% increase in the monthly benefit. If you are currently enrolled in the program, you will automatically receive this increase. It will be added to your monthly benefit allowance on your EBT card beginning on April 1, 2009. If you are not currently receiving food assistance and believe you may be eligible, please contact your local Job & Family Services agency at <http://jfs.ohio.gov/County/cntydir.stm>

Small Business Loans: Small business with fewer than 500 employees can apply for new or re-structured loans. Contact the Ohio Small Business Development Center at the Ohio Department of Development at 1-800-248-4040 with questions or go online at www.odod.state.oh.us.

For additional information or general questions, please contact my office at (330) 489 4414.

###